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Abstract

Each year, the general public and wildland firefighters in the United States and globally are exposed to smoke from wildland fires. As part of an effort to characterize health risks of breathing this smoke, a review of the literature was conducted using five major databases, including PubMed and MEDLINE Web of Knowledge, to identify smoke components that present the highest hazard potential, the mechanisms of toxicity, review epidemiological studies for health effects, and identify the current gap in knowledge on the health impacts of wildland fire smoke exposure.

Components for which detectable measurements have been reported include particulate matter (PM), some major gases, hydrocarbons, alcohols, aldehydes/ketones, organic acids, esters and exotic compounds such as polychlorinated biphenyls and dioxins. Of these, PM, carbon monoxide and formaldehyde are components of most concern based on potential hazard to human health.

Respiratory events measured in time series studies as incidences of disease-caused mortality, hospital admissions, emergency room visits and symptoms in asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients are the health effects that are most commonly associated with community level exposure to wildland fire smoke. A few recent studies have also determined associations between acute wildland fire smoke exposure and cardiovascular health end-points. These cardiopulmonary effects were mostly observed in association with ambient air concentrations of fine particulate matter (PM$_{2.5}$). Although, wildland firefighters are healthier than the general public, they are exposed to more elevated levels of pollutants in wildland fire smoke. However, research on the health effects of this mixture is currently limited.

There is currently a need for research on acute and longer term effects of wildland fire smoke exposure. The health effects of acute exposures beyond susceptible populations and the
effects of chronic exposures experienced by the wildland firefighter are largely unknown.

Longitudinal studies of wildland firefighters during and/or after the firefighting career could help elucidate some of the unknown health impacts of cumulative exposure to wildland fire smoke, establish occupational exposure limits, and help determine the types of exposure controls that may be applicable to the occupation.
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1. Background

Although smoke from burning wildland vegetation (wildland fire smoke) is known to be composed of many potentially harmful components, its impacts on human health are relatively understudied and inadequately understood. Vegetative biomass smoke under different exposure scenarios has been associated with various adverse health effects. However, fewer studies have investigated the adverse health effects of wildland (natural vegetation including forests, grasslands, chaparral etc.) fire smoke compared with those experienced in association with residential combustion of wood or other vegetation based fuels; fewer still have examined the effects of occupational exposure among wildland/forest firefighters.

The current review of vegetative biomass smoke exposure specifically examines adverse health effects of exposure to smoke emissions from forest fires or prescribed burns. Wildland fire smoke exposure is typically experienced on two levels: the community/general public level and occupationally among wildland firefighters. Since the number of people working and/or living in areas adjacent to forested areas and the wildland-urban interface continues to grow (Radeloff et al., 2005), the risk of exposures to wildfire smoke in both scenarios and their resulting adverse health effects may be expected to rise.

Majority of the investigation into the community level health effects of wildfire smoke exposure has been conducted in association with ambient air particulate matter concentrations, while a few have also studied associations with other criteria air pollutants. However, wildland fire smoke contains many other potentially harmful substances such as mono- and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, aldehydes and metals for which dose-response data are not always available (Naeher et al., 2007).
Additionally, it is important to note that wildland fire smoke is a dynamic mixture, changing temporally and spatially in composition as it is dispersed from the source. Its composition at the source is dependent on combustion conditions, while its variation across space from the source is highly influenced by atmospheric and weather factors. Consequently, the exposures experienced by wildland firefighters deployed to the fire line would be expected to be rather different from those experienced within communities downwind from wildland fires. Due to their proximity to the source, wildland firefighters may be exposed to elevated concentrations of the more harmful constituents of wildland fire smoke such as particulate matter and aldehydes when compared to what is experienced by the public. They are also expected to be more frequently exposed.

Accordingly, we review the literature on and assess the evidence for the health effects of wildland fire smoke exposure on both wildland firefighters and the general public, and discuss the needs for research considering both exposure scenarios. Small but measurable acute pulmonary effects have been observed in studies of occupational and community exposures. However, results from various studies including those related to wood smoke exposures from occupational or residential sources indicate possible systemic and longer term effects. Systemic inflammation, acute cardiovascular responses and reduction in birth weight (a delayed effect) are some of the other effects that have been reported.

As part of an effort to characterize health risks of wildland fire smoke exposure to wildland firefighters and the public, we review the literature to identify the components that present the highest hazard potential to both populations. We also review the literature for evidence of the health effects of wildland fire smoke and for possible underlying mechanisms of toxicity. The specific objectives of the current review are to:
1. Discuss the composition of wildland fire smoke. Since a primary objective of this review is the evaluation of health hazards of wildland fire smoke exposure to wildland firefighters and the general public, focus is placed on wildland fire smoke components for which good exposure estimates can be obtained (either from the exposure assessment or emission factor literature), and for which relevant exposure standards are available. This discussion also highlights specific characteristics of wildland fire smoke derived particulate matter in terms of its chemical composition and size distribution.

2. Identify the components presenting the highest hazard ratios to wildland firefighters and the public based primarily on reported occupational exposure or ambient air concentrations.

3. Review the evidence for the adverse health impacts of wildfire smoke on wildland firefighters and the public.

4. Discussion of the possible mechanisms for wildland fire smoke toxicity.

5. Identification of research needs for determining the health effects of occupational and community level wildfire smoke exposure.

2. Methods

Wildland fire smoke components that are considered harmful based on available occupational or general population regulatory or recommended exposure limits were identified from the literature. Concentrations or emission factor data were then abstracted from the selected papers. Emission factors were used to calculate concentrations if the emission factor for carbon monoxide or carbon dioxide was available in the same study as these are indicators of incomplete and complete combustion respectively. Molar ratios of the components relative to
carbon monoxide or carbon dioxide were then obtained from the emissions factor data and multiplied by the maximum mean concentration of fire line exposure to carbon monoxide or carbon dioxide reported in the most comprehensive published wildland firefighters exposure assessment study that is available. (Reinhardt and Ottmar, 2004) The calculation of concentrations from emission factors is illustrated in equation 1.

\[
C_{\text{component}} = \left[ \frac{N_{\text{component}}}{N_{\text{CO or CO}_2}} \right] \times C_{\text{CO or CO}_2}
\]

Equation 1

\(C_{\text{component}}\) is the concentration of a component of interest in wildland fire smoke; \(N_{\text{component}}\) is the number of moles of the component based on its reported emission factor; \(N_{\text{CO or CO}_2}\) is the reported emissions factor for carbon monoxide or carbon dioxide in the same study; \(C_{\text{CO or CO}_2}\) is the maximum concentration of carbon monoxide or carbon dioxide as reported by Reinhardt and Ottmar (2004). The maximum estimate or reported average and/or individual concentrations were then used to determine hazard indices based on the most stringent occupational or general population regulatory or recommended exposure limits.

The review of the health effects of wildland fire smoke exposure is conducted using both epidemiological and experimental studies. The evidence analysis protocol of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics was adapted for conducting the review (Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics, 2012). Three databases: PubMed, SportsDiscus and Medline were used for a comprehensive literature search for the review of health effects of wildland fire smoke exposure. The terms used for the searches are presented in Table I. Environmental Sciences and Pollution Management (ProQuest) and ACS Symposium Series, in addition to the first three databases.
were used for literature searches for emission factor or concentration data for components of wildland fire smoke.

3. Wildland Fire Smoke Composition

Smoke from wildland fires is a complex mixture containing hundreds of constituents/compounds in both particulate and gaseous phases, and its composition often varies spatially and temporally depending on combustion conditions (especially the relative amounts of flaming and smoldering combustion). These in turn are a function of fuel characteristics such as its chemistry, bulk density, arrangement and moisture content (Alves et al., 2010b; Burling et al., 2010; Urbanski, 2014). Such emission can have significant impact on the earth’s atmosphere by significantly altering the concentrations of some of its constituents, shifting radiative forcing, and negatively impacting air quality on a regional and continental scale (Akagi et al., 2013; Anttila et al., 2008; Ferek et al., 1998; Heil and Goldammer, 2001; Urbanski, 2014; Yokelson et al., 2013).

Wildland fuels have a relatively consistent carbon content with dry matter carbon content ranging between 35% and 55% (Urbanski, 2014). By far, most of the carbon is released as carbon dioxide (CO₂) which together with carbon monoxide (CO) and methane (CH₄) constitutes approximately 95% of carbon released during wildland fires (Urbanski, 2014). Additionally, biomass burning is considered to be the second largest global atmospheric source of both total trace gases and gas-phase non-methane organic compounds (NMOC), and is the largest global atmospheric source of primary fine carbonaceous particles (Akagi et al., 2013; Yokelson et al., 2013). According to the National Emissions Inventory (NEI) estimate from the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), wildland fires (wildfires and prescribed burns) are
the largest source of PM$_{2.5}$ emissions in the United States, accounting for 29% of total emissions compared to 9.2% from transportation sources (Aurell and Gullett, 2013).

The classes of compounds/components that have been observed in biomass smoke include major inorganic gases, hydrocarbons, oxygenated hydrocarbons, trace metals and particulate matter. Wildland fire smoke could also contain exotic persistent organic compounds such as dioxins and furans. It may also, with possibly less potential impacts, contain radon-derived daughter radionuclides, and absorbed accumulations of abiotic contaminants such as polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and pesticides/herbicides. Since this review is health risk-driven, components with reported or estimable exposure levels and which are of concern based on comparisons with established exposure limits are the main focus of this section of the review. The exposure standards for these components are presented in Table II, while their maximum reported study mean or individual time-weighted average (TWA) concentrations and hazard ratios based on the most stringent regulatory or recommended occupational or ambient air (acute or chronic) exposure limits are presented in Table III. Comparisons with chronic exposure limits applicable to the general population are made with the consideration that episodic wildland fire smoke exposure is experienced rarely in most communities. In preparing Table III, preference is given to components with fixed area ground or personal exposure measurement data. Components without such measurements but which may be of concern based on exposures estimated from emissions factor data are mentioned at the end of this section.

Based on the maximum reported mean or individual TWA fixed area ground or personal exposure measurements and relevant regulatory or recommended occupational or general population exposure limits for acute and chronic exposures, the component of most concern is
respirable or fine particulate matter. Other components of concern identified based on the stated criteria are acrolein, carbon monoxide, nitrogen dioxide, benzene, and formaldehyde.

3.1 Particulate Matter

Particulate matter has been identified as the best single indicator of the health hazards of smoke from biomass combustion sources (Naeher et al., 2007). The size and composition of the particles are two of the characteristics that determine its toxicity (Bølling et al., 2009). Both unimodal and bimodal size distribution have been observed for particles emitted in vegetative biomass smoke (Barregard et al., 2008; Chakrabarty et al., 2006; Iinuma et al., 2007; Keywood et al., 2000; Tesfaigzi et al., 2002). However, results indicate that the particulate matter emission is dominated by smaller particles in the accumulation mode (aerodynamic diameter of 0.1-2 µm) (Barregard et al., 2008; Chakrabarty et al., 2006; Iinuma et al., 2007; Keywood et al., 2000). Additionally, greater increases in concentrations of particles in the accumulation mode have been observed in studies of ambient air during periods of wildland fire compared to periods without such events (Alonso-Blanco et al., 2012; Cashdollar et al., 1979; Portin et al., 2012; Sillanpää et al., 2005; Verma et al., 2009). Particle formation during combustion of vegetative biomass usually starts with the nucleation mode (aerodynamic diameter < 0.1 µm) with condensation nuclei consisting of compounds such as poly-aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) or low volatility organic compounds (LVOCs) depending on fuel characteristics and combustion conditions (Chakrabarty et al., 2006). Sub-micrometer airborne particles, which as noted are relatively abundant in vegetative biomass smoke, are transported by diffusion and penetrate deeper into the lungs compared to larger particles (Araujo and Nel, 2009; Invernizzi et al., 2006; Kristensson et
al., 2013; Schwarze et al., 2006). They are also deposited more efficiently in the pulmonary region compared to the more proximal regions of the lungs (Alföldy et al., 2009).

The above observations are important as they indicate that wildland fire smoke derived particulate matter is comparable, in terms of its size, to particles in traffic exhaust or smoke particles from other combustion sources. It possesses more similarities to fumes or diesel particulate matter than to comminution-derived inert dust that is regulated for the workplace (OSHA, 1987). The regulatory standard for inert or nuisance dust is based on its perceived low toxicity due to low solubility (and low quartz content), and its toxicity is thought to result from injury in the terminal airways and proximal alveoli due to accumulation from high level of exposure (Cherrie et al., 2013). However, wildland fire smoke derived particles contain water soluble components, and redox reactive metals and polar organic compounds (Alves et al., 2011; Balachandran et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2005b; Lee et al., 2008a; Leonard et al., 2007; Leonard et al., 2000; Wegesser et al., 2010). It may also induce measurable acute pulmonary and systemic responses at lower exposure levels (Naheer et al., 2007).

Particulate matter emitted from the combustion of vegetative biomass is mostly carbonaceous and is typically composed of at least 50% organic carbon by weight (Alves et al., 2010a; Alves et al., 2010b; Chen et al., 2007a; Fine et al., 2001; Fine et al., 2002a; Fine et al., 2002b; Fine et al., 2004b; Fine et al., 2004a; Robinson et al., 2011; Schmidl et al., 2008). Elemental (the inorganic form of) carbon may constitute less than 10% of the particulate matter, but could sometimes be more substantial depending on the specie or type of vegetation (Alves et al., 2010a; Alves et al., 2010b; Chen et al., 2007a; Fine et al., 2001; Fine et al., 2002a; Fine et al., 2002b; Fine et al., 2004b; Fine et al., 2004a; Robinson et al., 2011; Schmidl et al., 2008). Wildland fire smoke contains black carbon which is the strong light absorbing component of elemental carbon and is
a climate forcing agent (Chen et al., 2007a; Ramanathan and Carmichael, 2008). Exposure to black carbon has also been associated with effects on cardiovascular and respiratory health (Jansen et al., 2005; Nichols et al., 2013).

Levoglucosan, which is a sugar anhydride and a pyrolytic product of cellulose, is the most abundant organic compound in wildland fire associated smoke particulate matter (Lee et al., 2005b). Other sugar anhydrides, aliphatic and oxygenated aliphatic hydrocarbons, sterols, methoxyphenols, which are pyrolytic products of lignin, PAHs and oxygenated PAHs, are also present (Fine et al., 2001; Fine et al., 2002a; Fine et al., 2002b; Fine et al., 2004b; Fine et al., 2004a). Un-substituted and oxygenated PAHs are associated with mechanisms which are thought to underlie the adverse cardiovascular and respiratory effects of vegetative biomass smoke particles including oxidative stress and inflammation (Danielsen et al., 2011; Kocbach et al., 2008b). Both classes of compounds, along with free radicals and trace metals in the particles are able to generate the production of reactive species that can ultimately result in the oxidative damage of macromolecules in exposed organisms (Danielsen et al., 2011).

Although, the currently existing occupational standard for particulate matter may be inadequate for particles in wildland fire smoke as previously stated, ambient air concentration in the immediate vicinity of fires (12.5 mg/m$^3$) (Alves et al., 2010a; Alves et al., 2010b) and personal wildland firefighter exposure (10.5 mg/m$^3$) (Reinhardt and Ottmar, 2004) that exceed the lowest occupational exposure limit (3 mg/m$^3$) recommended by the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH) have been reported. These levels also exceed the Occupational Safety and Health Administration’s (OSHA) regulatory standard with a higher permissible exposure limit of 5 mg/m$^3$. These levels are of course well above the current 24-hour national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS) for ambient air (25 µg/m$^3$). Although typically a
lot lower than wildland firefighter exposure, ambient air concentrations at least two to three times higher than the NAAQS are not uncommon in urban areas downwind of wildland fire. These levels have been associated with various adverse health outcomes (Delfino et al., 2008).

### 3.2 Carbon Monoxide

Carbon monoxide, along with particulate matter, has the most comprehensive exposure data from personal monitoring and area/ground measurements in the literature among the air pollutants emitted during wildland fires. Published study average TWA personal occupational exposures at wildfires or prescribed burns are lower than the lowest OEL occupational exposure limit (OEL) of 25 ppm (ACGIH) indicating that exposures of most wildland firefighting personnel are relatively low (Adetona et al., 2013a; Dunn et al., 2013; Miranda et al., 2012; Reinhardt and Ottmar, 2004; Reisen and Brown, 2009). Nonetheless, the maximum TWA personal occupational exposures in the literature exceeded 50 ppm (Reinhardt and Ottmar, 2004), the higher regulatory standard (permissible exposure limit – PEL) issued by OSHA. Similarly, the reported maximum instantaneous peak personal exposure of 1085 ppm was about 5.5 times the NIOSH and California OSHA recommended ceiling value of 200 ppm (Reinhardt and Ottmar, 2004). Exposure of the public during wild fire events is usually much lower than the published occupational exposures because of dilution of carbon monoxide in air during transport from the fire to public receptor locations.

The toxicity of carbon monoxide is partly due to its ability to bind hemoglobin more strongly than oxygen (~ 240 times) causing the formation of carboxyhemoglobin (COHb) (Raub, 1999). This results in tissue hypoxia since the formation of COHb reduces the oxygen carrying capacity of the blood. COHb levels beginning at 5% saturation in the blood results in decreased work
capacity in healthy young adults, while levels below 5% but greater than 2% have been associated with cardiovascular effects in persons with pre-existing cardiovascular diseases (Raub, 1999). Higher COHb concentrations could result in headache, dizziness, weakness, disorientation and impair decision making (Raub, 1999; Raub et al., 2000). The elimination half-life of COHb is 4 to 5 hours without any intervention, and treatment of carbon monoxide poisoning involves speeding up the elimination rate (Annanet al., 2011; Guzman, 2012; Quinn et al., 2009; Wolf et al., 2008). Treatment with normobaric 100% oxygen, for example, reduces the half-life by up to 80%; treatment with hyperbaric (2.5 atmospheres) 100% oxygen further reduces the half-life to 20 minutes (Quinn et al., 2009; Wolf et al., 2008). Such treatments reverse hemoglobin binding of carbon monoxide and improve tissue oxygenation (Quinn et al., 2009). Apart from the formation of COHb, other mechanisms at the cellular level are also thought to be involved in carbon monoxide toxicity (Guzman, 2012; Raub et al., 2000). This is evidenced by the poor correlation between COHb levels at the time of hospital admission and the symptoms and signs of acute carbon monoxide poisoning (Raub, 1999).

Although COHb levels measured in wildland firefighters is mostly below 5% (Dunn et al., 2009; Gaskill et al., 2010; Miranda et al., 2012), working in heavy smoke or for longer periods could contribute towards elevated COHb concentrations due to its potential to accumulate in the blood (Gaskill et al., 2010). Consequently, wildland firefighters and other persons potentially could experience elevated COHb levels when they are in close proximity of wildland fires. However, it should be noted that other sources of carbon monoxide such as pumps, generators and gasoline trucks could significantly contribute to the exposures of firefighters working at wildland fires (Gaskill et al., 2010).
3.3 Respiratory Irritants: Acrolein and Formaldehyde

Both acrolein and formaldehyde are respiratory irritants at low concentrations. Exposure to these pollutants could result in respiratory symptoms, and nasal and respiratory tract irritation (Bein and Leikauf, 2011; Lang et al., 2008). Acrolein is a more potent irritant (Roemer et al., 1993), and exposure at higher concentrations could result in lung injury (Bein and Leikauf, 2011). Formaldehyde is also classified as a probable human carcinogen by the USEPA.

Olfactory detection of formaldehyde occurs between 0.04 and 0.40 ppm (Lang et al., 2008). Most of the published average occupational TWA exposures are below this range, and all maximum occupational TWA exposures reported in identified studies are below the OSHA PEL of 0.75 ppm (De Vos et al., 2009; Reinhardt and Ottmar, 2004; Reisen and Brown, 2009; Reisen et al., 2011). However, some of the average occupational TWA exposures reported for wildland firefighters in the United States and Australia in these studies exceed the lowest OEL of 0.016 ppm (National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health [NIOSH] recommended exposure limit) which is based on the carcinogenic effect of formaldehyde. Exceedance of this OEL was by up to 3700% for the highest reported average TWA. The maximum short-term exposure in the literature (1.46 ppm) was reported among wildland firefighters at prescribed burns in the United States (Reinhardt and Ottmar, 2004). This is an order of magnitude higher than the NIOSH recommended ceiling of 0.1 ppm.

Both average and maximum occupational TWAs reported for acrolein in the literature were all below the lowest OEL of 0.10 ppm (OSHA) and the recommended ceiling value of 0.1 ppm (California OSHA and ACGIH) except for a maximum TWA of 0.15 ppm measured in the respirator of a wildland firefighter working at a bushfire in Australia (De Vos et al., 2006). This TWA was also higher than the maximum reported short-term exposure of 0.129 ppm observed...
among wildland firefighters conducting prescribed burns in the United States. (Reinhardt and Ottmar, 2004). Additive effects from multiple irritants should be considered, and risk assessment of occupational wildland firefighters to wildland fire smoke indicates that their concurrent exposures to particulate matter, acrolein and formaldehyde at wildland fires may be of concern (Reinhardt and Ottmar, 2004). It is possible that cancer risk from formaldehyde exposure may be slightly increased above the acceptable $10^{-5}$ level for occupational exposure when the average duration of exposure at wildland fires, the frequency of exposure and career length of the wildland firefighter are considered (Booze et al., 2004).

### 3.4 Benzene

Five studies of the assessment of benzene exposure due to wildland fire from ground measurements or personal monitoring were identified (Barboni et al., 2010a; Barboni and Chiaramonti, 2010b; Evtyugina et al., 2013; Reinhardt and Ottmar, 2004; Reisen and Brown, 2009). Although repeated exposure to low levels of benzene may results in adverse non-cancer hematological, neurological, and immunological effects (Galbraith et al., 2010; Gist and Burg, 1997), the average TWA concentrations reported are well below estimated or measured levels for which these adverse effects were observed in various studies. However, a maximum individual TWA personal exposure of 0.384 ppm observed in one study was 3.84 times the NIOSH recommended OEL which is based on carcinogenic effects (Reinhardt and Ottmar, 2004). Additionally, a maximum 15-minute fixed area measurement of 16.9 ppm reported in a study in France was 16.9 times the NIOSH recommended short-term exposure limit (Barboni et al., 2010a). However, it is worth noting that the 15-minute measurements in the France study may be unrepresentative of typical occupational exposures since the measurements were conducted by
firefighting personnel in very close proximity (1-10 meters) of the fire line. It is possible that cancer risk from benzene exposure may contribute to a total risk above the acceptable $10^{-5}$ level for occupational exposure when the average duration of exposure at wildland fires, the frequency of exposure and career length of the wildland firefighter are considered (Booze et al., 2004).

### 3.5 Nitrogen Dioxide

Nitrogen dioxide induces various pulmonary responses including decrement in lung function, airway hyper-responsiveness and bronchoconstriction. (Organization and Europe, 2006) Additionally, ambient air concentration of nitrogen dioxide has been associated with respiratory and cardiovascular events as indicated by increases in mortality and physician or emergency room visits due to morbidity (Poloniecki et al., 1997; Samoli et al., 2006). Generally, susceptible individuals with pre-existing diseases such as asthma and chronic obstructive disease are more vulnerable to exposures to these two pollutants (WHO, 2006). These adverse responses seem to be solely dependent on concentration more than the duration or total dose of exposure (WHO, 2006). Therefore, the short-term exposure may be the more relevant metric for nitrogen dioxide during wildland fires. The maximum personal TWA exposure and the maximum peak area measurement reported for nitrogen dioxide suggest that it may be of concern during wildland fires (Miranda et al., 2012). The maximum personal TWA exposure reported for nitrogen dioxide (2.5 ppm) also exceeded the ACGIH and California OSHA recommended ceiling of 1 ppm for the pollutant.
3.6 Ozone and Others

Ozone is a secondary air pollutant formed through a series of reactions involving the interaction of light and other air pollutants including nitrogen dioxides and volatile organic compounds. In addition to being present in background ambient air, some of these primary air pollutants, as stated earlier in this section, are emitted in wildland fire smoke (Evtyugina et al., 2013; Simpson et al., 2011). Consequently, ozone could be a pollution problem in areas downwind from wildland fires. Eight studies reporting on the concentration of ozone in ambient air impacted by wildland fire smoke were identified (Evans et al., 1977; Hu et al., 2008; Phuleria et al., 2005; Portin et al., 2012; Smith et al., 1996; Tan et al., 2000; Tham et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2012). The maximum mean fixed area 24- (90 ppb) and 1-hour (120 ppb) ambient air concentrations of ozone under such conditions exceeded the USEPA 8-hr NAAQS of 75 ppb by 20% and 60% respectively (Smith et al., 1996; Tham et al., 2009). The recommended NIOSH ceiling of 0.1 ppm was also exceeded by the maximum mean 1-hour concentration by 20% (Smith et al., 1996). Elevated concentration of ozone in ambient air is associated with acute effects including decline in lung function, enhancement of airway responsiveness, autonomic cardiovascular effects, and morbidity and mortality related especially to respiratory illnesses (WHO, 2006). Some of these effects are observed in association with ambient air concentrations below the maximum concentrations referenced above (WHO, 2006).

Concentrations of 1,3-butadiene and hydrogen cyanide estimated from available emissions factor data and compared to USEPA reference concentrations for chronic inhalation exposure suggest that both could be pollutants of concern for the general public if exposure is experienced a few times a year (Burling et al., 2010; Urbanski, 2014; Yokelson et al., 2013).
4. The Health Impact of Wildland Fire Smoke Exposure

Virtually all of the health studies of wildland fire smoke have focused on the more immediate effects of acute exposures on the general public. Furthermore, a very limited number of health studies have been conducted among wildland firefighters, and most of the investigation has focused on acute physiological changes in response to exposures during the work shift at wildfires or prescribed burns. Therefore, little is known about the effects of more chronic cumulative exposures experienced by wildland firefighters. The primary information discussed in this section is from studies investigating the effects of exposures directly related to wildland/vegetation fire events. Health studies of related ambient or household air pollution are also discussed.

4.1 Health Effects of Exposure Directly Related to Wildland Fire Smoke in the General Public

The study of the effects of wildland fire smoke exposure is complicated by the sporadic unpredictable nature of wildfires. Consequently, most of the knowledge about the health impacts of exposures directly related to wildland fire smoke on the general public has come from retrospectively conducted ecological time series studies: 25 of the 36 (69%) of the articles that were identified were ecological studies with only population level measures for exposure and outcomes. It should be noted that the burning of agricultural residues or fields was the source of exposure in eight of the studies that were identified.

Acute cardiovascular and/or respiratory impacts with lagged effects mostly restricted to within six days of exposure were the focus of most (35/36) of the studies that were identified. Furthermore, outcomes in many of the studies were defined as the incidences of mortality,
hospital admission, physician or emergency room visits due to events or symptoms resulting from diseases such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), asthma and cardiovascular episodes such as stroke, heart failure and cardiac dysrhythmia. Accordingly, health effects that have been examined have largely been those most relevant to people who are susceptible due to pre-existing diseases. Therefore, very little is known about the effects of wildland fire smoke exposure in individuals who are otherwise healthy. Knowledge is also lacking regarding the delayed effects of exposure over the longer term. The summary of all identified studies involving the general public are presented in Table IV.

4.1.1 Respiratory Effects of Wildland Fire Smoke in the General Public

Naeher et al. (2007), in a major comprehensive review of the health effects of vegetative biomass smoke, concluded that exposure to smoke from wildland fires or burning of agricultural fields/residues resulted in respiratory symptoms and illnesses. They noted that the results were consistent across studies in different locations except for those that were conducted in Australia. Studies that have been published since the Naeher et al. comprehensive review in 2007, including six that were conducted in Australia, have reported results positive for the respiratory effects of wildland fire smoke exposure in the general public (Analitis et al., 2011; Crabbe, 2012; Delfino et al., 2008; Epton et al., 2008; Hanigan et al., 2008; Henderson et al., 2011; Johnston et al., 2007; Martin et al., 2013; Mirabelli et al., 2009; Morgan et al., 2010; Rappold et al., 2011; Tham et al., 2009).

Occurrence of wildfires (forest fires) in Athens, Greece was associated with increases in mortality due to respiratory illnesses (Analitis et al., 2011). An apparent dose-response relationship was observed with more deaths occurring with increasing size of the forest area
burned. While small fires (defined as fires burning 10,000-1,000,000 m$^2$) were not associated with increases in respiratory mortality, medium (defined as fires burning 1,000,000-30,000,000 m$^2$) and large fires (defined as fires burning >30,000,000 m$^2$) were associated with 16.2% (95% CLs: 1.3, 33.4%) and 92.0% (47.5, 150.0%) increases in respiratory mortality respectively. Sastry (2002) had similarly observed a significant doubling of respiratory mortality in Kuching, Malaysia in association with reduced visibility (<0.91 km), which was used as a surrogate of ambient air pollution, during the 1997 Southeast Asia forest fire related haze episode. An insignificant increase was observed in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia during the same period (Sastry, 2002). Increase in respiratory mortality was not observed in association with ambient air PM$_{10}$ concentrations on high pollution days that were heavily influenced by bushfires in Sydney, Australia (Morgan et al., 2010).

The adverse health effects of wildland fire smoke exposure in the general public have been most consistently observed as increases in hospital admissions, physician or emergency room visits due to respiratory illnesses. Effects of forest fires was observed among a cohort of registered individuals on the Medical Service Plan in the province of British Columbia, Canada (Henderson et al., 2011). While no relationship was observed between either physician visits or hospital admissions due to all respiratory diseases and the occurrence of fire on the same day, significant increases were observed for both effects (odds ratio [OR]: 1.02 and 1.05 respectively) in association with an increase of 10 µg/m$^3$ in the same-day 24-hour average ambient concentration of PM$_{10}$ recorded at fixed monitoring station during the forest fire season. Significant increases in hospital admissions due to all respiratory diseases (OR: 1.11) were also observed in association with an increase of 60 µg/m$^3$ in the same-day 24-hour average ambient
concentration of PM$_{10}$ derived from the integration of satellite data with the CALPUFF smoke
dispersion model in the same study (Henderson et al., 2011).

In another study, an increase of 28% in hospital admissions for all respiratory diseases was
observed in association with an increase of 10 µg/m$^3$ in the 2-day average ambient air PM$_{2.5}$
concentrations during the 10-day period covering the 2003 southern California wildfires (Delfino
et al., 2008). This was not different from the exposure-response relationship observed during the
3-week period prior to the wildfires. After adjusting for ambient air PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations, the
wildfire period seemed to be protective against hospital admissions for all respiratory diseases
(relative risk [RR]: 0.903) when compared to the period before the wildfires (Delfino et al.,
2008). Conversely, the risk for hospital admissions increased during the 2-week period
immediately following the wildfires (RR: 1.143) indicating some delayed effects, although, the
authors could not rule out a seasonal effect for the post-wildfire results (Delfino et al., 2008).

Similarly, an increased risk for emergency room visits for all respiratory diseases (RR: 1.66)
was observed in North Carolina counties that were determined to have been exposed to smoke
from peat forest fires between June 1 to July 14, 2008 based on a satellite platform derived aerial
optical density measure (Rappold et al., 2011). Additionally, significant associations, which were
stronger during the sugar cane field burning season, were observed between ambient air PM$_{10}$
concentrations and hospital admissions for both children (> 13 years) and the elderly (> 64 years)
in a city in Brazil (Cançado et al., 2006). The results referenced above for all respiratory diseases
are supported by those reported in prior studies (those included in the Naeher et al. review)
conducted in countries other than Australia (Chen et al., 2006; Moore et al., 2006; Mott et al.,
2005; Mott et al., 2002). They are also supported by results reported in other more recent studies
(published after the 2007 review by Naeher et al.) that were conducted in Australia with regards
to bushfire smoke exposure (Crabbe, 2012; Hanigan et al., 2008; Johnston et al., 2007; Morgan et al., 2010; Tham et al., 2009). Although non-significant overall increases in risk were observed in two of the more recent Australian studies (a case-crossover study and an ecological time-series study) (Hanigan et al., 2008; Johnston et al., 2007), a significant increase was observed for indigenous people in one of these studies (Hanigan et al., 2008).

These two Australian studies also did not observe increases in hospital admissions for asthma in association with bushfire related air pollution (Hanigan et al., 2008; Johnston et al., 2007). However, significant increase in the odds (same day OR: 1.12) of having hospital admission for asthma was associated with the occurrence of bushfire related high pollution in Sydney, Australia (Martin et al., 2013). No association was found for asthma hospital admissions in two other Australian cities, Newcastle and Wollongong, in the same study. Associations of asthma hospital admissions or emergency room visits with various measures of particulate matter air pollution with respect to peat forest fires in North Carolina (65% increase in emergency room visits in exposed counties) (Rappold et al., 2011), bushfire in Australia (5.02% increase in hospital admissions per same day 10 µg/m³ rise in PM₁₀ in 15-64 year olds), (Morgan et al., 2010) forest fires in British Columbia (16% increase in odds of hospital admissions per 30 µg/m³ rise in same-day PM₁₀ during the forest fire season) (Henderson et al., 2011), and forest fires in California (4.8% increase in hospital admission per 10 µg/m³ rise in 2-day average PM₂.₅ during wildfire period) (Delfino et al., 2008). Results for asthma hospital admissions from prior studies (those included in the Naeher et al. review) are more inconsistent. Significant positive associations were observed in three of the prior studies, including two which focused on burning of agricultural fields or residues (Arbex et al., 2007; Jacobs et al., 1997; Mott et al., 2005), while null results were reported in two studies (Churches and Corbett, 1991; Duclos et al., 1990).
Weather factors, which are commonly controlled for in ecological time series studies were not adjusted for in these two prior studies that reported the null findings for asthma hospital admissions. One prior study reported null findings for asthma related physician visits (Johnston et al., 2006). Three prior studies reported significant positive associations for asthma emergency room visits (Duclos et al., 1990; Emmanuel, 2000; Johnston et al., 2002), while one prior study reported a null finding (Smith et al., 1996). Two prior studies (one, cohort and the other, a cross-sectional study) also observed associations with various asthma-related symptoms and wellness measures in relation to wildfire events (Johnston et al., 2006; Kunzli et al., 2006).

Wildland fire related air pollution exposure has also been associated with hospital admissions for COPD among the general public in two more recent ecological time-series studies (published after the 2007 review by Naeher et al.) (Delfino et al., 2008; Morgan et al., 2010). A bushfire related 10 µg/m³ rise in ambient air PM$_{10}$ was associated with an increase of 3.29% in hospital admissions for COPD among persons older than 65 years in Sydney, Australia. This was six times higher than the insignificant 0.57% increase in hospital admissions for COPD that was observed for a 10 µg/m³ rise in PM$_{10}$ in background ambient air in the same study (Morgan et al., 2010). Delfino et al. (2008) also reported a 3.8% increase in COPD hospital admissions among persons between 20 and 99 years old for every 10 µg/m³ rise in PM$_{2.5}$ during the 2003 southern California wildfires. This association was stronger than those observed in the periods immediately preceding or following the wildfires. In a case-crossover study, the odds of subjects being admitted into the hospital for COPD during the bushfire season in Darwin, Australia increased by 21% for every 10 µg/m³ rise in PM$_{10}$ (Johnston et al., 2007). In another ecological time-series study conducted in Australia, significant increases in odds for COPD hospital admissions were observed in association for same day (12%) and previous day (10%) bushfire
related severe pollution event in Sydney, Australia (Martin et al., 2013). However, null results were observed for Newcastle and Wollongong. No associations were also observed in Sydney in a different ecological time-series study (Hanigan et al., 2008). Significant positive associations were reported in studies included in the Naheer et al. 2007 review for various COPD outcomes: incidences of symptoms in Denver, CO (Sutherland et al., 2005), emergency room visits in California (Duclos et al., 1990), and hospital admissions in Malaysia (Mott et al., 2005).

Adverse effects of wildland fires for other respiratory outcomes among the general population have been reported. These include hospital admission for acute bronchitis and bronchiolitis (Delfino et al., 2008), and pneumonia (Delfino et al., 2008; Morgan et al., 2010). Significant effects were also observed for emergency room visits for pneumonia and acute bronchitis (Rappold et al., 2011), and upper respiratory tract illness including laryngitis, sinusitis and rhinitis (Duclos et al., 1990; Emmanuel, 2000). Although, null results were reported in one study for hospital admissions for pneumonia and acute bronchitis in Sydney and Wollongong in association with bushfire associated elevated pollution, significant positive associations were observed in Newcastle in the same study on the day immediately following or two days after the pollution event (Hanigan et al., 2008). Null results were also reported for emergency room visits for pneumonia and pharyngitis in association with forest fires in California (Duclos et al., 1990), and unspecified upper respiratory tract infection in association with forest fires in California and peat forest fires in North Carolina (Duclos et al., 1990; Rappold et al., 2011).

4.1.2 Cardiovascular Effects of Wildland Fire Smoke in the General Public

In all, thirteen peer-reviewed papers reporting on the possible cardiovascular effects of wildland fires were identified. The ecological time series design was employed in all the studies
except for a cohort study that was conducted in British Columbia, Canada (Henderson et al., 2011). Mostly, null findings were reported for the associations between wildland fire exposure and cardiovascular health end points among the general public. No positive association was reported for hospital admissions, physician or emergency room visits due to all cardiovascular diseases combined in ten studies conducted in North America, Asia or Australia (Crabbe, 2012; Delfino et al., 2008; Duclos et al., 1990; Hanigan et al., 2008; Henderson et al., 2011; Johnston et al., 2007; Moore et al., 2006; Morgan et al., 2010; Mott et al., 2005; Rappold et al., 2011).

However, positive association was reported for the association between cardiovascular mortality in Athens, Greece and the size of forest fires occurring in areas adjacent to the city (Analitis et al., 2011). An apparent dose-response effect was also observed with fires classified as medium being associated with a non-significant 6.0% (-0.3, 12.6%) rise in cardiovascular mortality, while fires classified as large were associated with a 60% (43.1, 80.3%) increase. Additionally, the effect was observed to be more pronounced in the older population (> 75 years). Conversely, no positive association was observed between mortality among all age groups and visibility which was used as a surrogate measure for particulate matter ambient air pollution in two Malaysian cities, Kuala Lumpur and Kuching, during the 1997 forest fire related haze in Southeast Asia (Sastry, 2002). Nevertheless, cardiovascular mortality was observed to increase among 65-74 year olds (RR: 2.016) in Kuala Lumpur and persons who were 75 years and older (RR: 3.060) in Kuching on days with forest fire related reduced visibility in the same study.

Some of the identified papers report findings from studies investigating the associations between wildland fire smoke exposure and specific cardiovascular health end points among the general public. These end points include hospital admission and/or emergency room visits for
hypertension, ischemic heart disease, cardiac dysrhythmia, myocardial infarction, stroke and heart failure. Of these, positive associations have only been reported for hospital admission due to hypertension in relation to exposure to smoke from the burning of sugar cane fields in Brazil (Arbex et al., 2010), emergency room visit due to heart failure in relation to exposure to peat forest fire smoke in North Carolina (Rappold et al., 2011), and hospital admission due to ischemic heart disease among indigenous people in Darwin, Australia (Johnston et al., 2007). However, these results should be interpreted with caution. No other study of the association between wildland fire smoke exposure and hypertension was identified. Two studies, one in Australia in relation to bushfires and another in the United States with respect to forest fires, report null findings for hospital admissions due to heart failure (Delfino et al., 2008; Morgan et al., 2010). A null finding was reported for non-indigenous people in the Australian study which reported a positive finding for indigenous persons for hospital admissions for ischemic heart disease (Johnston et al., 2007). Additionally, four other studies – one from Malaysia in relation to the 1997 forest fire haze episode in Southeast Asia, one from the United States in relation to forest fires, and two from Australia in relation to bushfires – report null findings for hospital admission due to ischemic heart disease (Delfino et al., 2008; Hanigan et al., 2008; Morgan et al., 2010; Mott et al., 2005). Null findings were reported for cardiac dysrhythmia in two studies from the United States (Delfino et al., 2008; Rappold et al., 2011), myocardial infarction in one study from the United States (Rappold et al., 2011), and stroke from two studies, one from the United States and the other from Australia (Delfino et al., 2008; Morgan et al., 2010).
4.1.3 Other Health Effects of Wildland Fire Smoke in the General Public

Several studies have investigated the association between wildland fire smoke exposure and all-cause, non-traumatic or ill-defined mortality among the general public (Analitis et al., 2011; Emmanuel, 2000; Hänninen et al., 2009; Morgan et al., 2010; Sastry, 2002; Vedal and Dutton, 2006). Hänninen et al. (2008) reported non-significant increases of 0.8-2.1% in daily mortality in provinces in Southern Finland per additional 10 µg/m³ of PM$_{2.5}$ with varying lag periods (lag 0-3, 0-4 day average) during a 2-week period in September 2002 when air quality in the provinces was impacted by smoke from wildfires in Eastern Europe. Significant increases in non-traumatic and ill-defined mortality were observed among different age groups in association with dichotomous measures of air pollution (visibility < 0.91 km and PM$_{10}$ > 210 µg/m³) in two cities in Malaysia during the 1997 Southeast Asia forest fire related haze episode (Sastry, 2002). However, results were inconsistent among the age groups across the two cities. Also, null findings for all-cause mortality among the general public in association with forest fires were reported in one study in Singapore and another in Denver, CO (Emmanuel, 2000; Vedal and Dutton, 2006). However, Analitis et al. (2012) reported increases in all natural deaths among the general public in Athens, Greece in association with forest fires in areas adjacent to the city. Medium fires were associated with a 4.9% (0.3, 9.6%) increase in all natural deaths while large fires were associated with a 49.7% (37.2, 63.4%) increase.

The effect of in-utero acute exposure to wildland fire smoke on birth outcomes has been investigated in one study (Holstius et al., 2012). Compared to babies born from pregnancies occurring entirely during periods before or after the forest fires, birth weights of newborns were 7.0 grams (2.2, 11.8 grams), 9.7 grams (4.8, 14.5 grams) and 3.3 grams (-0.6, 7.2 grams) lower when the wildfires occurred in the first, second and third trimester of pregnancy respectively.
Finally, increases in circulating immature polymorphonuclear (band cells) leukocytes and serum pro-inflammatory cytokine concentrations were increased in healthy male volunteers in Singapore during the 1997 Southeast Asia forest fire haze compared to the period immediately after the episode (Tan et al., 2000; Van Eeden et al., 2001). These results indicated that exposure to smoke from the forest fires caused systemic inflammation. However, the authors reported the lack of measurements prior to the forest fire related exposure as a limitation of their study.

4.2 Health Effects of Occupational Exposure to Wildland Fires among Wildland Firefighters

Few studies of the health effects of occupational wildland fire smoke exposure have been conducted among wildland firefighters. The comprehensive review of the health effects of wood smoke by Naheer et al. (2007) included six studies of health effects among wildland firefighters, including one non-peer reviewed paper. Nine studies investigating the health effects of occupational wildland fire smoke among wildland firefighters have since been published. None of these studies has investigated direct linkages to diseases, and all have focused on various adverse physiological responses in the airways or blood.

Declines in lung function measures across the workshift have been observed in a few studies. Betchley et al (1997) reported declines of 65 ml, 150 ml and 497 ml/sec in forced vital capacity (FVC), forced expiratory volume in 1 sec (FEV₁) and maximum mid-expiratory flow (FEF₂₅₋₇₅) across the work shift for wildland firefighters working at wildland fires in the states of Oregon and Washington. Similarly, corresponding declines of 59 ml, 53 ml and 53 L/min were also observed at the end of the first firefighting activity compared to baseline measurements collected before deployment of a group of firefighters in Corsica, France (Jacquin et al., 2011). A smaller
non-significant cross-shift decline in FEV₁ of 30 ml was reported by Gaughan et al. (2008). No association was observed between cross-shift declines in lung function measures and work shift exposure to PM₃.₅, carbon monoxide, acrolein or formaldehyde in the studies conducted in Oregon and Washington (Slaughter et al., 2004). However, Gaughan et al. (2014a) reported an association between cross-shift declines in FEV₁ and work shift exposure to particulate levoglucosan.

Nonetheless, the results of the cross-shift studies referenced above are limited by the lack of comparisons to control days when the firefighters were not exposed to wildland fires. This is especially important because of the large variability that is associated with lung function measures (Borsboom et al., 1999; Troyanov et al., 1994), and the probable confounding effect of physical exertion that accompanies working at wildfires or prescribed burns. Moreover, Adetona et al. (2011b) did not detect any differences in changes in lung function measures between days when a crew of wildland firefighters in Southeastern United States worked at prescribed burns and days when they did not.

However, there is evidence that continuous occupational wildland fire smoke exposure may have a cumulative effect on lung function. Adetona et al. (2011b) observed decreases of 24 ml in FVC and 24 ml in FEV₁ for each additional day that the firefighters worked at a prescribed burn during the dormant winter burn season in Southeastern United States (Adetona et al., 2011b). Declines in lung function measures have also been observed across periods encompassing one or two burn seasons (Betchley et al., 1997; Gaughan et al., 2008; Liu et al., 1992; Miranda et al., 2012). Significant cross-season declines of 90 ml, 150 ml and 440 ml/sec in FVC, FEV₁ and FEF₂₅₋₇₅ respectively were in wildland firefighters in the state of California (Liu et al., 1992). Corresponding cross-season declines in these measures in another study of wildland firefighters
in the states of Oregon and Washington were 33 ml, 104 ml and 275 ml/sec (Betchley et al., 1997). Gaughan et al. (2008) also observed a cross-season decline of 224 ml in FEV$_1$ in hot-shot firefighters working at wildfires in the states of Alaska and California. Additionally, Rothman et al. (1991) reported cross-season declines of 1.2% in FEV$_1$ and 0.3% in FVC that were mostly associated with hours of recent firefighting activities among wildland firefighters in California. However, it is unclear whether declines through the prescribed burn/wildfire season are sustained through non-exposure periods/months. No difference was observed in pre-season lung function measurements of a small number of wildland firefighters (n = 9) across two years in one study (Adetona et al., 2011b), while Betchley et al (1997) reported that cross-season declines in lung function measures tended to resolve over non-exposure periods ranging between 5.5 and 13 months among the subjects in their study. However, declines which had been observed across a work shift among wildland firefighters in Corsica, France persisted over a three month non-exposure period (Jacquin et al., 2011). FVC, FEV$_1$ and FEF$_{25-75}$ remained 280 ml, 340 ml and 45 L/min below their baseline measurements respectively. Wildland firefighters in Sardinia, Italy also had lower measurements for various lung measurements including FVC, FEV$_1$ and the FEV$_1$/FVC ratio compared to policemen on the island after controlling for known confounding factors such as age, height and smoking (Serra et al., 1996). It should be noted that the authors considered that the two groups were similar with respect to their level of physical fitness and the non-sedentary nature of their jobs.

Acute airway and systemic inflammation among wildland firefighters have also been investigated in a few studies. No significant cross-shift changes in eosinophilic cationic protein and myeloperoxidase in induced sputum were observed among two hot-shot crews fighting wildfires in Alaska and California (Gaughan et al., 2008). However, concentrations of these
inflammatory biomarkers were increased in their nasal lavage across the work shift. Furthermore, Swiston et al. (2008) reported cross-shift increases in percentage granulocytes, mostly neutrophils, in induced sputum among wildland firefighters in British Columbia. On the other hand, exhaled nitric oxide did not increase immediately after the end of a second season of firefighting compared to baseline measurements in a group of firefighters in another study that was conducted in Portugal (Miranda et al., 2012). Although, the investigators were surprised by this result because it indicated reduction in airway inflammation consequent upon exposure to wildland fire, they noted that their observation was similar to results observed in smokers. They noted that cigarette smoke may induce such effect due to the inhibition of nitric oxide synthetase; this in turn could contribute to increased risks of chronic and respiratory diseases in cigarette smokers since endogenous nitric oxide is important for protecting the respiratory tract and counteracting bronchoconstriction, vasoconstriction and platelet aggregation (Miranda et al., 2012).

Acute systemic inflammation consequent upon occupational wildland fire exposure among wildland firefighters has been investigated in two studies (Hejl et al., 2013; Swiston et al., 2008). Significant cross-shift changes in circulating band cells and serum concentrations of pro-inflammatory cytokines, interleukin-6 (IL-6) and IL-8, were observed after exposure to wildland fire in British Columbia (Swiston et al., 2008). Increases in the cytokine concentrations were not observed across a work shift when the firefighters were engaged in strenuous physical activities but had no wildland fire smoke exposure. A similar finding was observed among wildland firefighters in Southeastern United States (Hejl et al., 2013). Post-shift concentrations of IL-8 in dried blood spot samples were 1.7 times higher than the pre-shift levels. Cross-shift differences were not observed for adhesion molecules (VCAM-1 and ICAM-1), IL-1β, serum amyloid A
(SAA), and C-reactive protein (CRP). Comparisons to changes on days when there were no wildland fire smoke exposures were not made in this study.

Although wood smoke particles have been shown to generate reactive oxygen species (ROS), (Leonard et al., 2007; Leonard et al., 2000) only two studies of oxidative stress among wildland firefighters were identified (Adetona et al., 2013b; Gaughan et al., 2014b). Cross-shift changes were not observed for oxidative stress biomarkers, urinary 8-hydroxy-2’-deoxyguanosine (8-OHdG) and 8-isoprostane, in all wildland firefighters that were included in one study. However, cross-shift increases were observed for subjects who had worked as wildland firefighters for less than two years, while cross-shift decreases were observed for those with longer careers (Adetona et al., 2013b). The authors hypothesized that the acute oxidative stress response due to wildland fire smoke may be modified by the cumulative exposure of the wildland firefighter. The study was limited due to its very small sample size. Although many repeated measurements were collected, the total number of subjects was 17 and the number of subjects per career length group was five or less. Gaughan et al. (2014b) also observed a positive association between urinary 8-OHdG and aortic augmentation among two hot shot crews in Colorado. Aortic augmentation is a measure of arterial stiffness which is involved in the pathogenesis of cardiovascular disease.

None of the study of wildland firefighters that were identified investigated the effect of occupational wildland fire smoke exposure over the longer term. Consequently, very little to nothing is known about the health effects of continuing occupational wildland fire smoke exposure across years among career wildland firefighters. However, such information is needed since the exposure of wildland firefighters, unlike that of the public, is more persistent and
typically much higher. The summary of all identified studies involving wildland firefighters are presented in Table V.

4.3 Evidence from the Health Effects of Ambient Air Pollution Indirectly Linked to Combustion of Wood or Vegetation

In all, 11 papers that reported on the adverse health effects of ambient air pollution indirectly linked to the combustion of wood or the vegetation were identified. Health outcomes were limited to cardiovascular and/or respiratory health end-points in most of the studies. Null results were reported for all-cause mortality in the whole population in a study involving city-wide program to replace wood heaters as the primary source of residential heating in Launceston, Australia (Johnston et al., 2013). However, a significant reduction in all-cause mortality was reported for males in the population after the implementation of the stove replacement program. No association was observed between wood/vegetative smoke associated particulate matter in Phoenix, Arizona or Washington, DC in two source apportionment studies (Ito et al., 2006; Mar et al., 2006). These two studies and the one conducted in Australia also reported no associations for cardiovascular or respiratory mortality (Ito et al., 2006; Johnston et al., 2013; Mar et al., 2006). Sanhueza et al. (2009) reported an increase of 12.5% and 5.5% in respiratory and cardiovascular mortality respectively for every 100 μg/m³ rise in PM₁₀ in Temuco, Chile. The association between respiratory mortality and ambient particulate matter pollution was stronger during the winter with a rise of 15.7% in mortality for every 100 μg/m³ rise in PM₁₀. Almost 70% of the population in Temuco is reported to use wood for cooking or heating during the winter, and 87% of the winter PM₁₀ is estimated to originate from residential wood combustion (Díaz-Robles et al., 2014).
In a source apportionment method, Sarnat et al. (2008) did not find any association between admission for all respiratory diseases combined and wood smoke associated PM$_{2.5}$ determined using chemical balance, factor analysis or tracer technique in Atlanta, Georgia. However, a 2.3% rise was observed for every inter-quartile increase in total carbon, the tracer of vegetative burning in Spokane, Washington in another source apportionment study (Schreuder et al., 2006). Associations were also reported between ambient particulate matter concentrations and respiratory admission in Temuco, Chile and Christchurch, New Zealand (Mcgowan et al., 2002; Sanhueza et al., 2009), and outpatient visits for all respiratory illnesses combined in Temuco Chile (Díaz-Robles et al., 2014). Positive relationships were also observed between ambient particulate matter concentrations and respiratory infections including pneumonia and influenza in Christchurch, New Zealand where 90% of the particulate air pollution is estimated to originate from wood burners (Mcgowan et al., 2002). Additionally, reduced ambient PM$_{2.5}$ was associated with decrease in the incidences of bronchitis, influenza and throat infection in a cohort study conducted among children in Libby, Montana after a wood stove replacement program (Noonan et al., 2012). Reductions in the incidences of wheeze and colds were also observed in the same study. On the other hand, the odds of experiencing respiratory symptoms within the previous twelve months were not different among study participants living in two cities with substantially different rates of wood stove use and levels of ambient wood smoke exposure in Australia (Bennett et al., 2010). Associations have been reported between residential wood combustion derived ambient air pollution and hospital admissions for asthma and COPD in Christchurch, New Zealand (Mcgowan et al., 2002), and clinical encounters (inpatient and outpatient) for infant bronchiolitis in British Columbia (Karr et al., 2009).
McGowan et al. (2002) did not find any association between residential wood combustion derived ambient particulate matter pollution and hospital admission for cardiac dysrhythmia, ischemic heart disease or heart failure in Christchurch, New Zealand. Conversely, a significant 1.26% increase in hospital admission for all cardiovascular diseases combined for every 14.8 μg/m$^3$ increase in PM$_{10}$ in the same study. Additionally, Sarnat et al. (2009) reported a positive association between wood smoke derived ambient particulate matter pollution as determined by chemical balance, factor analysis or tracer technique and emergency room visits for all cardiovascular diseases combined in Atlanta, while a 5.8% increase in hospital admissions for all cardiovascular diseases combined in association with every 100 μg/m$^3$ increase in ambient air PM$_{10}$ was observed in Temuco, Chile during the cold season (Sanhueza et al., 2009). Schreuder et al. (2006) did not observe an association between concentrations of total carbon, used as a tracer of vegetative burning, and emergency room visits for all cardiovascular diseases combined.

4.4 Evidence from the Health Effects of Household Air Pollution Related to the Combustion of Wood or other Vegetative Materials

As with other exposure scenarios, most of the studies of the health effects of household air pollution associated with the combustion of wood and other vegetative materials investigate respiratory health end-points. There is strong evidence that continuous long-term exposures to smoke related to residential wood combustion is linked to the development of COPD and chronic bronchitis. A recent meta-analyses showed that the odds of having doctor diagnosed or lung function defined COPD increased more than four folds (OR: 4.29 [1.35, 13.70]) in populations using wood burners in their residences compared to those using cleaner fuels (Kurmi et al.,
The corresponding odds ratio for bronchitis in the same study is 2.64 (2.12, 3.29). Similar results are reported by two other recent meta-analyses of studies investigating residential combustion of all solid biomass fuel types including wood (Kurmi et al., 2012a; Po et al., 2011). Similarities have been shown in the pathology of wood and tobacco smoke associated COPD (González-García et al., 2012; Guzmán-Grenfell et al., 2011; Montano et al., 2004; Moran-Mendoza et al., 2008; Sandoval et al., 1993). However, some of the indicators of the disease have been observed to be more severe in wood smoke associated COPD (González-García et al., 2012; Guzmán-Grenfell et al., 2011; Montano et al., 2004; Sandoval et al., 1993). Higher metalloproteinase activity was observed in patients with wood smoke associated COPD (Montano et al., 2004) while they also had more severe pulmonary arterial hypertension and bronchial hyper-responsiveness (González-García et al., 2012; Sandoval et al., 1993).

It is not clear that there is an association between exposure to smoke from residential combustion of wood and asthma. Ostro et al. (1994) reported an association (OR: 1.59 [1.28, 1.97]) between the use of wood stove or fireplace and nocturnal asthma and other asthma related symptoms among adult patients (18-70 years) diagnosed with asthma in Denver, CO. (Ostro et al., 1994) Significant association (OR: 5.64 [1.1, 27.9]) was reported for asthma together with other “respiratory problems” among children less than 12 years in one study in Mexico. (Graham et al., 2005). Lack of separation prevented firm conclusion specific to asthma to be drawn from the results of the study. No association was observed between wood stove use and acute asthma in young children (1 month to 5 years) in a hospital-based study that was conducted in Malaysia (Azizi et al., 1995). Eisner et al. (2002) reported no association between wood stove use and asthma health outcomes in Northern California. In a survey study, an insignificant increase in odds for the prevalence of doctor-diagnosed asthma was observed among children (4-6 years).
living in homes in three rural communities in Guatemala where wood for cooking was combusted exclusively in open fires relative to those living in homes where improved stoves were used (Schei et al., 2004). On the other hand, significant positive associations were observed for asthma-related symptoms in the same study. Similar results have been reported for asthma-related symptoms including wheezing and shortness of breath among children and adults (Da Silva et al., 2012; Ingale et al., 2013; Mengersen et al., 2011; Romieu et al., 2009; Smith-Sivertsen et al., 2009). Residential wood combustion has also been linked with other respiratory symptoms and decreased lung function (Da Silva et al., 2012; Diaz et al., 2007; Fullerton et al., 2011; Guggisberg et al., 2003; Guneser et al., 1994; Ingale et al., 2013; Köksal et al., 2013; Mengersen et al., 2011; Rinne et al., 2006; Riojas-Rodríguez et al., 2001; Romieu et al., 2009; Saha et al., 2005; Smith-Sivertsen et al., 2009; Triche et al., 2002; Triche et al., 2005).

Results were inconsistent between two meta-analyses of studies of the relationship between asthma and household air pollution due to the combustion of solid biomass fuel without any specification of type (Kurmi et al., 2012a; Po et al., 2011). There was an overlap of only two studies out of a total of 12 that were considered by both meta-analyses. Kurmi et al (2012) reported that the positive result from their meta-analysis be interpreted with caution because the methodology was imperfect in all the five papers they reviewed.

Acute lower respiratory disease and pneumonia, especially among children, is perhaps the most studied health end-point in association with household air pollution due to the combustion of solid biomass fuel including wood. Positive associations between exposure to smoke from residential combustion of wood and acute respiratory infections among children were reported in all seven papers that were identified (Collings et al., 1990; Etiler et al., 2002; Johnson et al., 2008; Johnson and Aderere, 1991; Mahalanabis et al., 2002; Smith et al., 2011;
Taylor and Nakai, 2012). Association was positive but insignificant in the only study that reported results for adults (women) (Taylor and Nakai, 2012). In a randomized control trial involving replacement of open fires with chimney stoves, an intention-to-treat analysis showed that a 50% reduction in personal carbon monoxide exposure related to the intervention was associated with reduced odds of being diagnosed by the physician with pneumonia (OR: 0.82 [0.70, 0.98]) or hypoxemic pneumonia (OR: 0.72 [0.59, 0.92]) (Smith et al., 2011). Cooking with wood also increased the risk of mortality among children admitted into the hospital for acute lower respiratory infection in Nigeria (Johnson et al., 2008; Johnson and Aderele, 1991). Reduced mucociliary clearance and suppression of antibacterial activity of lung macrophages have been proposed as the possible mechanism for increased respiratory infection due to the combustion of solid biomass including wood (Kurmi et al., 2012a).

Residential wood combustion was linked to tuberculosis in two studies from Mexico. The odds of having active tuberculosis increased 1.5 (1.0, 2.4) times with past or present use of wood among subjects in Mexico City (Perez-Padilla et al., 2001), while cooking with wood for more than 20 years increased the odds of being diagnosed with tuberculosis among subjects living in rural areas in Southern Mexico (García-Sancho et al., 2009). These results are supported by the findings of two meta-analyses of studies that investigated the association between tuberculosis and household air pollution due to the combustion of solid biomass fuel without specification of type (Kurmi et al., 2014; Sumpter and Chandramohan, 2013). However, the authors of a third meta-analysis reported that the association is uncertain (Lin et al., 2007). Association in three of the five studies they included in the meta-analysis was significantly positive, while it was insignificantly negative in the other two. It should be noted that the findings that wood smoke
exposure is a risk factor for tuberculosis are similar to those reported for tobacco smoke (Kurmi et al., 2012a).

The authors of a pooled analysis of the International Lung Cancer Consortium of data from seven studies across Asia and North America reported an increased odds of 1.21 (1.06, 1.38) for having lung cancer among all subjects that combusted wood for cooking or heating in their homes (Hosgood et al., 2010). The odds (OR: 1.43 [0.97, 2.11]) were higher but not significant for lifetime wood users alone. Similar results were reported by Kurmi et al. (2012b) in another meta-analysis of studies investigating the association between lung cancer and household air pollution due to residential combustion of solid biomass fuel (all types with and without coal). A higher effect estimate (odds ratio) was reported for females (1.81) compared to males (1.16) for residential combustion of all types of solid biomass fuel combined. This is probably because women experience higher exposure levels compared to men in many of the study areas since they are usually the primary cooks and spend more time in the home.

Household air pollution due to residential combustion of wood has also been linked to adverse birth outcome. Most of the studies have focused on birth weight. Newborns were 14-243 grams lighter when they were born to mothers living in homes where wood was used for cooking and/or heating compared to mothers living in homes that used cleaner fuels (Abusalah et al., 2012; Amegah et al., 2012; Boy et al., 2002; Kadam et al., 2013; Siddiqui et al., 2008; Wylie et al., 2014). The results were marginally significant in the study recording the smallest difference (14 grams), (Wylie et al., 2014) and another reporting a difference of 82 grams (Siddiqui et al., 2008). The smallest difference reported in studies with significant results was 63 grams (Boy et al., 2002). Children who were born to women who continued to use open fire to cook were 89 (-range: 27-204) grams lighter than those who switched to chimney stoves in a randomized control
trial in Guatemala (Thompson et al., 2011). Although the results were not always significant, the incidence of low birth weight (birth weight < 2500 grams) also tended to be higher among newborns of mothers cooking with wood compared to those cooking with cleaner fuels, and among newborns of mothers cooking with open fire compared to those cooking with chimney stoves. The risk of stillbirth was observed to be higher (PR: 1.24 [1.08, 1.41]) among births to mothers cooking with firewood compared to those cooking with liquefied petroleum gas or electricity in a national survey in India (Lakshmi et al., 2013). Stillbirth was also observed to be more common (4% vs. 0%) among women cooking with wood in another Indian study (Wylie et al., 2014). The positive findings for reduced birth weight and stillbirth are supported by results of a meta-analysis of studies investigating the associations between adverse birth outcomes and exposure to household air pollution due to the combustion of solid biomass fuel without specification of type (Pope et al., 2010).

Very few studies have investigated the cardiovascular health effects of chronic exposure to household air pollution due to combustion of wood. Reduced blood pressure has been observed in association with the replacement of open fire with chimney stoves in Guatemala and Nicaragua (Clark et al., 2013; Mccracken et al., 2007). Probable cardiovascular effects of residential exposure to wood smoke have also been demonstrated by observed increase in reactive hyperemia index (a measure of endothelial function) and reduced incidence of nonspecific ST-segment depression (a measure of ventricular repolarization) in association with exposure reduction interventions (Allen et al., 2011; Mccracken et al., 2011). The possibility that wood smoke could be a risk factor for adverse cardiovascular outcomes is also supported by results of studies of residential combustion of non-specified solid biomass fuel including wood. A recent study found a higher prevalence of atherosclerotic plaque and an increased mean carotid
artery intima-media thickness, an indicator of the progression of atherosclerosis, in association with household solid biomass fuel use (Painschab et al., 2013). Higher blood pressure (Baumgartner et al., 2011), higher prevalence of arterial hypertension (Dutta et al., 2012), and worse measures of markers of pulmonary hypertension in association with residential biomass fuel use have also been reported in recent studies (Emiroglu et al., 2010).

5. Mechanisms of Toxicity

Most mechanistic studies of wood smoke toxicity relate to its adverse effects in the airways with one study involving both intratracheal instillation and oral gavage of wood smoke particles reporting that the strongest effects were exerted in the organ closest to the port of entry (Danielsen et al., 2010). However, systemic effects after inhalation exposures are reported in a few in-vivo and human studies. The majority of the mechanistic studies investigated the effects of wood smoke particle exposure on oxidative stress, inflammation and cell toxicity. A few of the studies that attempt an elucidation of the toxicity pathways indicate that these effects are largely due to the endogenous generation of ROS. This indicates that toxicity by wood smoke particles may be induced in a way similar to the hierarchical cellular response model that has been proposed for the toxicity of diesel and ambient air particles (Li et al., 2002; Li et al., 2008; Xiao et al., 2003). It should be noted again at this point that particulate matter has been identified as the chief indicator of the adverse effects of pollution from combustion sources (Naeher et al., 2007). A few studies have also reported that wood smoke inhalation may induce adverse effects through the action of its component pollutants on cells in the autonomic nervous system. It seems that these effects could be mediated without or together with particles in wood smoke, and that the generation of ROS is at least partially involved.
5.1 Oxidative Stress and Inflammation in the Airways

Wood smoke particles contain and possess the potential to generate ROS including the hydroxyl radical, superoxide anions and hydrogen peroxide in cells (Danielsen et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2008b; Leonard et al., 2007; Leonard et al., 2000; Liu et al., 2005). No increase in ROS generation was observed in one study (Forchhammer et al., 2012a). However, measurement of ROS in this study was done in human umbilical endothelial cells, unlike in the other studies in which measurements were conducted in airway cell lines. Due to its ability to cause cellular ROS generation, wood smoke exposure can clearly result in oxidative stress. This is measured as the induction of antioxidant enzymes, changes in antioxidant capacity or as changes in concentrations of products of oxidative degradation of macromolecules (lipid peroxidation or oxidative DNA damage). Results are consistent for oxidative stress in *in-vitro* studies conducted with monocytes, macrophages, epithelial and endothelial cells. Upregulation of antioxidant enzymes such as heme oxygenase (HO-1) and superoxide dismutase (Cu/Zn SOD), depletion of endogenous antioxidant capacity such as reduction in glutathione (GSH), and increases in products of oxidative damage of macromolecules such as DNA strand breaks, oxidized guanines and lipid peroxides are observed across these airway cell lines (Corsini et al., 2013; Danielsen et al., 2009; Danielsen et al., 2011; Forchhammer et al., 2012a; Karlsson et al., 2006; Kubátová et al., 2006; Lee et al., 2008b; Leonard et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2005). Dose-dependent increases in the formation of strand breaks and formamidopyrimidine DNA glycosylase (FPG) sites were observed in human A549 lung epithelial and THP-1 monocytic cell lines in a pair of studies (Danielsen et al., 2009; Danielsen et al., 2011). The induction of oxidative stress response by wood smoke is dependent on its composition. Non-polar and mid-polar fractions of wood smoke
particle extracts caused more GSH depletion than the polar fraction in murine 264.7 macrophages (Kubátová et al., 2006). Additionally, the organic extract of wood smoke particulate matter generated more strand breaks in human A549 epithelial cells (Danielsen et al., 2009). Results about oxidative responses in the airways in-vivo models and from human experimental studies are not as consistent as those reported for in-vitro studies (Barregard et al., 2008; Danielsen et al., 2008; Park et al., 2004; Ramos et al., 2013; Sehlstedt et al., 2010; Stockfelt et al., 2012; Wegesser et al., 2010; Williams et al., 2013). Possible reasons for this inconsistency include heterogeneity in the measured oxidative stress marker, timing of measurements, dose of exposure, the type of wood, and exposure protocol as it relates to the combustion conditions.

Airway inflammation characterized by an increase in cytokine release by various airway cells (Bølling et al., 2012; Corsini et al., 2013; Danielsen et al., 2011; Forchhammer et al., 2012a; Karlsson et al., 2006; Kocbach et al., 2008a; Kocbach et al., 2008b; Myatt et al., 2011), and infiltration of immune cells, especially neutrophils, in various in-vivo models is also induced by exposure to wood smoke particles (Bhattacharyya et al., 2004; Bhattacharyya et al., 1998; Danielsen et al., 2010; Karlsson et al., 2006; Park et al., 2004; Samuelsen et al., 2009; Wegesser et al., 2010; Wegesser et al., 2009; Williams et al., 2013; Zhu et al., 2012). There is evidence that ROS generated by wood smoke particles stimulate mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs) such as Jun-N-terminal kinases (JNK). This in turn activates nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) and other pro-inflammatory transcription factors, thus causing an up-regulation of cytokines. This pathway is similar to what has been described for pulmonary inflammation induced by ambient air particulate matter (Brook et al., 2010). Inhibition of endogenous generation of ROS by wood smoke particle extract and each of the following steps in the theorized pathway resulted in the
reduction of the activities of subsequent steps and eventual expression of IL-8 in human bronchial epithelial cells (Perng et al., 2013). Additionally IL-8 release induced by extracts of beech wood smoke generated particles was completely blocked by a specific inhibitor of p38 MAPK in both A549 and THP-1 cells (Corsini et al., 2013). However, specific inhibition of NF-κB resulted in significant inhibition of smoke extract induced IL-8 in only the A549 cells in the same study. Activation of MAPKs by ROS may also result in the up-regulation of anti-oxidant genes. The induction of HO-1 in alveolar epithelial cell II by wood smoke particle extract was completely abolished by pre-treatment with a combination of MAPKs ( JNK, p38 and ERK) inhibitors (Lee et al., 2008b).

While endotoxins could adhere to particles and cause inflammation, their inactivation results in only partial attenuation of the pro-inflammatory effects of wood smoke particles (Kocbach et al., 2008a; Kocbach et al., 2008b). Rather there is evidence that organic components of the particles (which could be metabolized to produce ROS) are largely responsible (Bølling et al., 2012; Kocbach et al., 2008a; Kocbach et al., 2008b; Wegesser et al., 2010; Wegesser et al., 2009; Wong et al., 2011). Inflammatory response to wood smoke exposure may also be dependent on combustion conditions which are a determining factor for the physicochemical properties of the resulting particles (Bølling et al., 2012; Danielsen et al., 2010; Danielsen et al., 2011). This may account for the negative findings that have been observed with respect to inflammation in some human chamber experiment studies in contrast with actual exposure situations for wildland firefighters (Gaughan et al., 2008; Hejl et al., 2013; Riddervold et al., 2012; Sehlstedt et al., 2010; Stockfelt et al., 2012; Swiston et al., 2008). Furthermore, neutrophilic infiltration in the lungs, increased exhaled nitric oxide and serum/urine Clara cell protein (CC16) observed in some human experiment studies suggest a pulmonary pro-
inflammatory effect of wood smoke in humans (Barregard et al., 2008; Ghio et al., 2012; Stockfelt et al., 2012).

Alternative mechanistic pathways may contribute to the oxidative stress and inflammatory potentials of wood smoke particles in the airways. The binding of the electrophile binding site of Transient Receptor Potential Ankyrin-1 (TRPA-1) has been postulated as a potential pathway (Shapiro et al., 2013). Although TRPA-1 is mainly expressed in C-fibers that innervate the airways, they are also expressed in non-neuronal airway cells including fibroblasts and small airway epithelial cells. It is hypothesized that the binding of TRPA-1 in these non-neuronal cells could result in their release of pro-inflammatory mediators. It is possible that other alternative pathways that have been described for ambient air particulate matter may also contribute to the pro-inflammatory effects of wood smoke particles (Brook et al., 2010).

5.2 Cytotoxicity in Airway Cells

Cytotoxicity measured as increase in the release of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) due to membrane damage or reduction in the number of viable cells is induced in-vitro by exposure to suspension of wood smoke particles (Bølling et al., 2012; Danielsen et al., 2009; Forchhammer et al., 2012a; Kocbach et al., 2008a; Kubátová et al., 2006). Increase in LDH (Samuelsen et al., 2009), increase in the number of dead macrophages and reduction in the number of viable macrophages in bronchoalveolar lavage have also been observed in in-vivo models (Wegesser et al., 2009; Williams et al., 2013). It appears that macrophages are especially more sensitive to toxicity from acute wood smoke particle (Franzi et al., 2011; Kubátová et al., 2006; Wegesser et al., 2009). Activation of NF-κB consequent upon phagocytosis of particles has been adduced as a possible pathway for wood smoke particle induced toxicity (Franzi et al., 2011; Williams et al.,
2013). and diminution of antioxidant capacity (GSH depletion) may contribute (Kubátová et al., 2006). Less efficient combustion conditions and higher organic content may also contribute towards more potent induction of cytotoxicity in airway cells (Bølling et al., 2012; Kocbach et al., 2008a; Kubátová et al., 2006).

5.3 Systemic Oxidative Stress and Inflammation

It is unclear how wood smoke inhalation could cause systemic inflammation and uncertain how pulmonary oxidative stress and inflammation may spill over into the circulation. However, it has been demonstrated in a rat model that exposure to wood smoke by oral gavage causes increases in markers of oxidative stress and inflammation in the liver (Danielsen et al., 2010). Moreover, increase in MCP-1, a chemotactic cytokine, and HO-1 and 8-oxoguanine glycosylase (OGG1) increased in the liver 24 hours after intratracheal instillation of wood smoke particles. These suggest that both inflammatory responses and the induction of antioxidant enzymes in the liver are caused by inhalation exposure to wood smoke.

Increases in the concentrations of markers of lipid peroxidation in circulation have been observed in other in-vivo models consequent upon acute exposures to elevated levels of wood smoke (Park et al., 2004; Ramos et al., 2013). Inconsistent results have been observed in human experiments (Barregard et al., 2008; Barregard et al., 2006; Danielsen et al., 2008; Forchhammer et al., 2012b; Sehlstedt et al., 2010; Stockfelt et al., 2013; Stockfelt et al., 2012). Nonetheless, increases in mRNA levels of OGG1 in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (Danielsen et al., 2008), urinary concentration of 8-isoprostan (Barregard et al., 2006), and serum concentrations of inflammation markers have been observed in human subjects after experimental exposure to
Exposure to elevated levels of wood smoke may cause neurological effects, and induce inflammatory responses and oxidative stress responses including lipid peroxidation and genotoxic DNA damage in brain tissues (Chen et al., 2007b; Gorgun et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2005a; Lee et al., 2010). These toxic effects have been linked to the ability of wood smoke to inhibit mitochondrial complexes and consequent augmentation of ROS generation, possibly from increased supply of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NADH) from the glycolytic pathway (Gorgun et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2010). Glycolytic metabolism may serve as a compensatory mechanism for impaired mitochondrial respiration induced by wood smoke exposure (Gorgun et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2010). Overexpression of neuroglobin in mice has been shown to attenuate the inhibition of mitochondrial complexes, ameliorate the shift towards glycolytic metabolism, and reduce oxidative DNA damage in brain tissue after exposure to wood smoke (Gorgun et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2011).

Inflammation and thrombosis are closely linked and it has been hypothesized that lower airway inflammation could induce production of coagulation in the liver (Barregard et al., 2006; Stockfelt et al., 2013). However, the effects of acute wood smoke exposure on thrombosis is currently unclear in human exposure studies as observed for markers of thrombosis such as coagulation factors VII and VIII, fibrinogen, D-dimer and platelet count (Barregard et al., 2006; Hunter et al., 2014; Stockfelt et al., 2013).
5.4 Immune Suppression

Although initial activation of immune cells could result in a temporary bactericidal effect, immune suppression may be the longer term effect (Samuelsen et al., 2009). Whereas neutrophilic infiltration may result in some initial clearance of infection, the sensitivity of macrophages to cytotoxicity and the impairment by wood smoke exposure of their ability to phagocytize and kill bacteria may suppress immune response in the longer term (Samuelsen et al., 2009). Instillation of wood smoke particles reduced in-vivo clearance of Staphylococcus aureus and Fc-receptor mediated phagocytosis (Zelikoff et al., 2002). In one study, macrophages from wood smoke exposed rabbits were less adherent, had reduced phagocytic ability, and a lower maximum number of associated bacteria (Fick Jr et al., 1984). Although there was no change in macrophage phagocytic ability 24 hours after exposure, there was an increase in bacterial load in lung tissue of wood smoke exposed mice inoculated with Streptococcus pneumoniae in another study (Migliaccio et al., 2013). Reduction in T-cell activation was also observed 2 hours and up to 7 days after wood smoke exposure in macrophages co-cultured with CD4+ cells accompanied by a reduction in the production of interferon-gamma (IFN-γ). Activation of the non-canonical NF-κB, RelB, with the possible involvement of the aryl-hydrocarbon receptor (AhR) activation by PAHs has been hypothesized as a possible pathway for suppression of macrophages (Migliaccio et al., 2013).

5.5 Tissue remodeling

Chronic wood smoke exposure has been identified as a cause of COPD in patients with the disease (González-García et al., 2012; Guzmán-Grenfell et al., 2011; Montano et al., 2004; Moran-Mendoza et al., 2008; Sandoval et al., 1993). In-vivo experiments have recently been
carried out to study the linkage (Ramos et al., 2009; Zou et al., 2014). Features that are characteristic of the pathogenesis of the disease were observed in the experiments. Increase in the expression and activities of metalloproteinases, which are involved in the degradation of the extracellular matrix, was observed in the chronic exposure (1-7 months) animal models (Ramos et al., 2009; Zou et al., 2014). Macrophage number in bronchoalveolar lavage increased after 1 to 4 months of exposure, while neutrophils increased after 4 to 7 months of exposure (Ramos et al., 2009). Both cell types possess the secretor phenotype of metalloproteinases. Metalloproteinases can activate transforming growth factor beta (TGF-β) resulting in the proliferation of fibroblasts (Zou et al., 2014). Additionally, the serum concentration of tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase (TIMP-1) in rats increased after 4 or 7 months of exposure (Zou et al., 2014). This indicates a protease-antiprotease imbalance which is a hallmark of the disease.

The transition of epithelial cells to fibroblast phenotype or epithelial-mesenchymal transition in small airways has been proposed as a potential mechanism contributing to airway fibrosis in COPD. Evidence of this transition was observed in-vitro. Increase in the expression of vimentin and type I collagen (mesenchymal markers) and a decrease in E-cadherin (epithelial markers) were observed in rat tracheal epithelial cells, while both types of markers were in the airway sub-epithelium in-vivo indicating that bronchial fibroblasts may directly originate from epithelial cells in wood smoke exposed rats (Zou et al., 2014). The number of fibroblasts in the small airways also increased after wood smoke exposure.

Finally, emphysematous lesions also increased in the rats and guinea pig models after 7 months of exposure (Ramos et al., 2009; Zou et al., 2014). Collagen deposition was observed in the rat but not in the guinea pig model after 7 months of exposure. Twenty-eight days of exposure increased deposition of collagen protein, hydroxyproline, collagen I and III, in lung
tissue in another rat model indicating that chronic wood exposure could cause pulmonary fibrosis (Zhu et al., 2012).

5.6 Interaction with the Autonomic Nervous System in the Airways

Wood smoke interacts with vagal pulmonary afferent nerves. Rapidly adapting myelinated irritant receptors and nerve endings of the unmyelinated bronchopulmonary C-fibers can both be stimulated by wood smoke exposure (Lai and Kou, 1998a; Lai and Kou, 1998b). Apparently, two distinct types of immediate ventilator responses are caused by the stimulation of these sensory receptors. The stimulation of the C-fibers causes inhibitory response observed as slow respiration after spontaneous wood smoke (~ 6ml) inhalation by tracheostomy in Sprague-Dawley rats, while excitatory augmented inspiration resulted from the stimulation of irritant receptors (Kou et al., 1995). Pretreatment with capsaicin which selectively blocks conduction by C-fibers abolished slow respiration in exposed animals, while cooling both cervical vagi at the higher temperature required for blocking conduction by only the myelinated fibers abolished augmented inspiration. Filtration of particles did not affect slow respiration while it prevented augmented inspiration in some of the exposed animals (Kou et al., 1995). Consequently, it can be concluded that the stimulation of C-fibers by the gas phase of wood smoke resulted in the inhibitory slow respiration response, and this effect is for example similar to that observed for acrolein (Faroon et al., 2008a; Faroon et al., 2008b). Gas and/or particulate phase induced the excitatory augmented inspiration via the irritant receptors. Pretreatment of animals with a hydroxyl radical scavenger or an iron chelator to prevent the formation of the radical abolished or attenuated both effects in most of the exposed animals (Ho and Kou, 2000; Kou et al., 1997).
Wood smoke induces bronchoconstriction as indicated by increased lung resistance and reduced dynamic lung compliance and hyperresponsiveness to itself or other bronchoconstrictors (Ho and Kou, 2002; Hsu and Kou, 2001; Hsu et al., 1998b; Hsu et al., 1998a; Hsu et al., 2000). These effects are also mediated through the interaction of wood smoke with bronchopulmonary C-fibers. The involvement of both cholinergic mechanisms and tachykinins such as substance P and neurokinin A released due to the stimulation of C-fiber nerve endings has been determined (Ho and Kou, 2002; Hsu et al., 1998a; Hsu et al., 1998b). Pretreatment of experimental animals with inhibitors of tachykinin and acetylcholine receptors attenuated bronchoconstriction effects. The endogenous production of free radicals subsequent upon wood smoke exposure is also involved, as pretreatment with a hydroxyl radical scavenger attenuated wood smoke induced airway hyperresponsiveness (Hsu et al., 2000). Tachykininergic and endogenous production of hydroxyl radicals have also been implicated in wood smoke-induced lung injury characterized by increased extravascular water, atelectasis and pulmonary parenchymal injury (Lin et al., 2001; Lin and Kou, 2000).

5.7 Cardiovascular Effects

Various indicators of cardiovascular health in association with wood smoke exposure have been studied in a few human experimental studies. Non-smoking healthy human subjects had higher central arterial stiffness measures (augmentation index, augmentation pressure and pulse wave velocity) and decreased variability in the time domain of the electrocardiogram one hour after exposure to wood smoke with an average PM$_1$ concentration of ~ 300 μg/m$^3$ for three hours compared to filtered air exposure (Unosson et al., 2013). There were no changes immediately or 20 hours after wood smoke exposure in both the time domain and repolarization
variables of the electrocardiogram in another human experimental study (two hour exposure to particulate matter concentration of ~ 400 μg/m³) (Ghio et al., 2012). Marginally significant minimal changes were observed in the frequency domain measures, while a significant 16.8% increase in maximal heart rate was observed in this second study. Compared to exposure to filtered air, there was no change in central arterial stiffness measures over a 24 hour period following experimental one hour exposure of firefighters to wood smoke with an average PM₁ concentration of 1,115 μg/m³ (Hunter et al., 2014). No change was observed in vascular function as measured by venous occlusion plethysmography with intra-arterial infusion of vasodilators 4-6 hours after wood smoke exposure among the firefighters. Similarly, no change in vascular function as measured by peripheral arterial tonometry was observed among non-smoking healthy subjects immediately, six or 20 hours following 3 hour exposures to average PM₂.₅ concentrations of 200 μg/m³ and 354 μg/m³ (Forchhammer et al., 2012b). Timing of measurements and the healthy worker effect in the case of the firefighter study were given as possible reasons for the negative findings and the inconsistent results between the studies (Hunter et al., 2014).

Three possible mechanisms that have been proposed for the cardiovascular effects of particulate matter inhalation exposure could apply to wood smoke. These include the spilling over of local airway inflammation into the lungs, translocation of ultrafine particles into circulation from the airways, and the interaction with the autonomic nervous system through the stimulation of pulmonary vagal afferents by wood smoke constituents (Brook et al., 2002; Brook et al., 2010; Ghelfi et al., 2008; Kido et al., 2011; Mills et al., 2009). The first two pathways could also be involved in systemic oxidative stress and inflammation resulting from inhalation exposure to wood smoke.
6. Summary of Evidence

The summary of evidence for the hazard associated with wildland fire smoke is presented in Table VI. The evidence that acute wildland fire smoke exposure adversely impacts respiratory health among the general public is strong. Although most of the evidence is from ecological studies without individual level measurements of exposure and outcomes, positive findings have been reported in cohort studies for COPD symptoms and various indicators of worsening of health in persons with asthma (Henderson et al., 2011; Johnston et al., 2006; Sutherland et al., 2005). Results from studies from different regions of the world (North and South America, Southeast Asia and Australia) are mostly consistent for positive findings for acute responses in persons with pre-existing diseases or for the development of respiratory infections resulting in hospital admissions, emergency room or physician visits. Dose-response relationships between exposure during wildland fire events to particulate matter, a major health hazard in wood smoke, and respiratory end-points were also determined in many of the studies. Furthermore, persons who are more susceptible to adverse effects of wildland fire smoke due to pre-existing conditions would more likely take preventive measures to reduce their exposures during wildfire events. Such behavior would result in exposure misclassification which would bias estimates for effects sizes towards the null. This might have contributed to the null findings in some of the ecological studies.

No study of the effect of wood smoke on an experimental model with pre-existing airway disease was identified. However, as noted earlier, there is a preponderance of evidence from in-vivo studies that wood smoke exposure could result in neutrophilic inflammation in the lungs and bronchoconstriction induced via tachykinin receptors in the airways. These responses could
contribute to the exacerbation of COPD and asthma, respectively (Ling and Van Eeden, 2009; Papi et al., 2006; Ramalho et al., 2011). Mechanistic studies also reveal that wood smoke exposure could result in immune suppression subsequent to the initial pro-inflammatory response. This could plausibly explain the increases in medical visits for respiratory infections in association with wildland fire smoke exposure. Effects of wood smoke exposure on airway inflammation were not observed in most human experiment studies. The contrasting results with other types of studies could have been partly due to the use of healthy subjects in the human experiment studies, differences in exposure conditions, and possibly differences in the physicochemistry of the emissions contributing towards the exposure. We thus conclude with high level of confidence that wildland fire smoke exposure is a respiratory hazard to the general public.

Ambient PM concentration is associated with cardiovascular morbidity and mortality (Brook et al., 2010). However, results for the cardiovascular effects of PM exposure specific to wildland fire smoke among the general public is less unequivocal. Most of the studies focus on acute outcomes and reported null findings. Significant positive findings were reported for associations between measures of particulate matter exposure and hospital admissions for hypertension with respect to agricultural burns and emergency room visits for heart failure during a peat fire event (Arbex et al., 2010; Rappold et al., 2011). While two of the papers reported non-significant protective effects in association with wildland fire associated PM (Hanigan et al., 2008; Johnston et al., 2007), five others reported non-significant positive effects for various cardiovascular health end-points (Crabbe, 2012; Delfino et al., 2008; Duclos et al., 1990; Morgan et al., 2010; Rappold et al., 2011). However, all but one (cohort) of the thirteen studies identified for cardiovascular health end-points were based on the ecological time-series
study design. These studies could therefore have been limited by probable misclassification of exposure (including avoidance by those with preexisting condition) and the lack of power to detect small differences on a population level. Consequently, we conclude that there is currently weak evidence that wildland fire smoke exposure is a cardiovascular hazard to the general public. No effect of wood smoke exposure on systemic inflammation, which contributes towards the precipitation of cardiovascular events, was observed in most of the human experiment studies that have been conducted. Yet, the use of healthy subjects limits the generalization of the results to the general population, and acute systemic inflammation in response to occupational wood smoke exposure has been observed among wildland firefighters.

Although there is evidence that cumulative exposure results in progressive lung function decline during the burn season among wildland firefighters, it is presently unclear whether this decline persists across off-seasons or whether this decline is larger than what would be expected for an average individual. Respiratory symptoms and biomarkers of airway and systemic inflammation have also been observed to increase in association with occupational wildland fire smoke exposure in a few studies. However, results in many of the studies are limited by small sample sizes and the determination of outcomes through self-reporting. Acute airway and systemic oxidative stress and inflammation, and effects on the autonomic nervous system were observed consequent upon wood smoke exposure in in-vivo studies, but findings were mostly not positive in human experiments involving healthy subjects (firefighters in one study). The sample sizes in the human experiments were small by design and exposure was for limited periods. Emissions were also generated under combustion conditions very different from what is typical for the wildland firefighters. Given the significant differences in emissions of smoke constituents
among combustion phases and different fuel/vegetation types, this is a critical deficiency in broadly applying results to the wildland firefighter population and the general public.

No study of long term effects of continuing occupational smoke exposure among wildland firefighters was identified. Nevertheless, chronic exposure to household air pollution due to the residential combustion of wood is associated with COPD and chronic bronchitis. Such exposures have also been linked to acute lower respiratory infections, and possibly asthma, tuberculosis and lung cancer. However the differences in combustion conditions and emissions, exposure patterns, susceptibility status, and population characteristics make extrapolation of results of household air pollution studies to wildland firefighters difficult. Exposures are typically more frequent and occur over longer durations for household air pollution, while firefighters are more likely to be male and a healthier working population. Wildland firefighters are also more likely to be exposed to emissions generated under better oxygenated combustion conditions with a higher heat release rate. It is also unclear how immune suppression and fibrotic/emphysema-like effects observed in relation to wood smoke exposure in-vivo studies may be interpreted with respect to wildland firefighter occupational exposure since exposure patterns in these studies are not reflective of what the wildland firefighter experiences. Taken together, we conclude that there is weak evidence for acute respiratory and systemic effects of occupational wildland fire smoke among wildland firefighters. However, it is unclear what these acute pulmonary and systemic physiological responses translate to in terms of the occurrence of acute and chronic diseases among wildland firefighters. Furthermore, the current lack of studies of health end-points of known clinical significance among this work population leads us to conclude that there is not enough information to determine the long term cardiovascular and respiratory hazard of cumulative occupational wildland fire smoke exposure among wildland
firefighters. A recent study demonstrated that organic constituents of combustion-generated ambient aerosols can aggravate and promote atherosclerosis and cardiovascular disease in a cumulative fashion (Keebaugh et al., 2015). The freshly emitted smoke from woodland fires may have greater percentage of toxic organic compounds than ambient particles and thus might represent a greater potential health risk to firefighters over the course of their careers.

There is a need for studies of clinically significant health endpoints including the incidences of diseases in relation to occupational wildland fire smoke among this population. Wildland firefighters would be expected to be healthier than the average population, and they have a very different wildland fire smoke exposure pattern compared to the exposure of the general public which is generally at a lower concentration and less frequent, or to exposure of individuals to smoke due to residential combustion of wood which typically is more continuous. In addition, the tasks in wildland firefighting can lead to greater exposures to particulates as the job is physically demanding and require elevated ventilation rates, which can result in substantially increased doses of smoke to the respiratory tract (Danielsen et al., 2008). Rothman et al. (1991) demonstrated that recent cumulative exposures were more strongly associated with greater changes in lung function, and it would be important to note if such was the case regarding cardiovascular function. The cumulative exposure effect previously mentioned would be particularly important for those who are at most risk occupationally as it is unknown whether cessation of exposure among wildland firefighters during the off-season may allow for recovery and reversibility of effects (Danielsen et al., 2008). Consequently, it is hard to extrapolate results from other populations to wildland firefighters.

Evidence for effects of wildland fire smoke exposure on birth outcomes is currently very limited. Only one study has so far been conducted with results of a small effect on birth weight.
Extrapolation of the results from household air pollution studies is difficult for some of the same reasons that were discussed above for cardiovascular health end-points among the general public and health effects among wildland firefighter.

7. Conclusion

There is strong evidence that acute episodic wildland fire smoke exposure is associated with respiratory effects among the general population, while current evidence of an association with cardiovascular effects is weak. Most of the research of health effects among the general population that has been conducted is based on the ecological time series design, and relies on ambient air concentrations of PM as the measure of exposure and medical visits or mortality as the measure of health outcome. The inability to assess exposure on the individual level within this study design limits the power to detect small effect sizes that may be associated with an episodic event such as wildfires. The greater likelihood that protective action will be taken by susceptible persons biases their exposure upwards and effect sizes towards the null. Perhaps accounting for pre-existing disease in such analysis could help ameliorate this problem. The effect windows used in the studies are typically less than six days. However, effects may be delayed and patients may not make medical visits until symptoms become severe. As such effects of wildland fire smoke exposure may be underestimated especially for respiratory outcomes (Delfino et al., 2008). Additionally, cardiovascular and respiratory effects of wildland fire smoke could be due to other components apart from PM (Delfino et al., 2008). Such association could be explored as has been done for typical ambient air pollution studies.

The available research on wildland firefighter occupational exposure is currently very limited, and there is not enough information to make conclusions with regards to cardiovascular
and chronic respiratory effects. Only acute physiological responses have been investigated without any determination of the clinical significance of findings. Therefore, a conclusion could only be made with respect to acute respiratory effects. The evidence for wildland fire being an acute respiratory hazard is weak. The pattern of wildland firefighter occupational exposure is very different from those of the populations from which evidence of chronic effects are available. Their exposure is more frequent than that of the general public to wildland fire smoke but more intermittent than the exposure experienced by individuals in the case of household air pollution. Additionally, the healthy worker effect makes the extrapolation of results difficult. Consequently, there is need to conduct studies of clinically significant health end-points among this population. Investigating such effects in association with the intermittent seasonal nature of wildland firefighters may help elucidate possible associations between exposure and disease initiation and/or progression. Experimental models with exposure patterns, fuel mix, and combustion conditions similar to the populations of interest in this review could also help inform on the health effects of wildland fire smoke exposure.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Databases</th>
<th>Search Terms</th>
<th>Years Searched</th>
<th>Total Number of Papers</th>
<th>Number of Papers Selected for Review</th>
<th>Number of Papers Excluded</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Health effects (epidemiology)</td>
<td>PubMed, MEDLINE, Web of Knowledge, SportsDiscus</td>
<td>Health effects (or cardiovascular, respiratory, reproductive, birth weight, preterm, perinatal, stillbirth, infant death) AND wood smoke (or biomass smoke, biomass combustion, vegetation smoke, wildfire)</td>
<td>1970-2014</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>198*</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanism of toxicity</td>
<td>PubMed, MEDLINE, Web of Knowledge, SportsDiscus</td>
<td>In-vitro (or in-vivo, human experiment, inflammation, oxidative stress, oxidative stress, airway, cardiovascular) AND wood smoke (or biomass smoke, biomass combustion, wildfire)</td>
<td>1970-2014</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>70†</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* This total contains studies of the health effects related to vegetative smoke exposure situations other than wildland fire smoke exposure which were reviewed for supporting evidence. There were a total of 52 studies of health effects of occupational and general occupational exposure to wildland fire smoke.

† A total of 70 papers were reviewed with 67 included in the review for evidence directly relevant to the health effects observed in epidemiology studies.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components</th>
<th>Lowest Occupational Exposure Limit*</th>
<th>Lowest Short Term Occupational Exposure Limit*</th>
<th>Lowest General Public Daily Exposure Limit*</th>
<th>Lowest Short Term General Public Exposure Limit*</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Agency/Organization Issuing Exposure Limit (period or form of limit) †, ‡</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respirable Particles (PM$_{3.5/4}$)</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>μg/m$^3$</td>
<td>LOEL - ACGIH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine Particles (PM$_{2.5}$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>μg/m$^3$</td>
<td>LOEL - CalOSHA, ACGIH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LSTOEL - CalOSHA, NIOSH (ceiling)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LGPDEL - USEPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LOEL - CalOSHA, ACGIH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LSTOEL - CalOSHA, NIOSH (STEL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Monoxide</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>20.08              ppm</td>
<td></td>
<td>LOEL - ACGIH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LSTGPEL - CalOSHA (1-hr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LOEL - CalOSHA, NIOSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LSTOEL - ACGIH (STEL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen Dioxide</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.075             ppm</td>
<td></td>
<td>LOEL - CalOSHA, NIOSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LSTGPEL - USEPA (1-hr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LOEL - OSHA, CalOSHA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LSTOEL - NIOSH ceiling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LGPDEL - USEPA (8-hr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfur Dioxide</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.092             ppm</td>
<td></td>
<td>LOEL - OSHA, CalOSHA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LSTGPEL - CalEPA (1-hr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozone</td>
<td>0.1†</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.092              ppm</td>
<td></td>
<td>LOEL - OSHA, NIOSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LSTOEL - CalOSHA, ACGIH (ceiling)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LGPDEL - USEPA (RfC - chronic inhalation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acrolein</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.00015</td>
<td>0.001              ppm</td>
<td></td>
<td>LOEL - CalEPA (1-hr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance</td>
<td>Lower Limit</td>
<td>Upper Limit</td>
<td>LOEL NIOSH</td>
<td>LSTOEL NIOSH</td>
<td>LSTGPEL CalEPA (1-hr)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formaldehyde</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.045 ppm</td>
<td>LOEL - NIOSH (as potential carcinogen)</td>
<td>LOEL - NIOSH (as potential carcinogen)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LSTOEL - NIOSH (ceiling)</td>
<td>LSTGPEL - CalEPA (1-hr)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LOEL - NIOSH (as potential carcinogen)</td>
<td>LOEL - NIOSH (as potential carcinogen)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0028 ppm</td>
<td>LSTOEL - NIOSH (STEL)</td>
<td>LSTGPEL - CalEPA (1-hr)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0085 ppm</td>
<td>LOEL - CalOSHA</td>
<td>LOEL - CalOSHA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LSTOEL - CalOSHA, NIOSH (STEL)</td>
<td>LSTOEL - CalOSHA, NIOSH, ACGIH (STEL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LGPDEL - CalEPA (reference exposure level)</td>
<td>LGPDEL - CalEPA (reference exposure level)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>LOEL - CalOSHA, NIOSH, ACGIH</td>
<td>LOEL - CalOSHA, NIOSH, ACGIH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9.82 ppm</td>
<td>LSTOEL - CalOSHA, NIOSH, ACGIH (STEL)</td>
<td>LSTGPEL - CalEPA (1-hr)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LGPDEL - CalEPA (reference exposure level)</td>
<td>LGPDEL - CalEPA (reference exposure level)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xylene</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>LOEL - CalOSHA, NIOSH, ACGIH</td>
<td>LOEL - CalOSHA, NIOSH, ACGIH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.07 ppm</td>
<td>LSTOEL - CalOSHA, NIOSH, ACGIH (STEL)</td>
<td>LSTGPEL - CalEPA (1-hr)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LGPDEL - CalEPA (reference exposure level)</td>
<td>LGPDEL - CalEPA (reference exposure level)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Both regulatory and recommended exposure limits are considered.
† LOEL - lowest occupational exposure limit; LSTOEL - lowest short term occupational exposure limit; LGPDEL - lowest general public daily exposure limit; LSTGPEL - lowest short term general public exposure limit; OSHA - Occupational Safety and Health Administration; CalOSHA - California Occupational Safety and Health Administration; NIOSH - National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health; ACGIH - American Council of Governmental Industrial Hygienists; USEPA - United States Environmental Protection Agency; CalEPA - California Environmental Protection Agency; STEL - short term exposure limit (15-minutes); RfC - reference concentration.
‡ Limits are for 8-hr and 24-hr exposure for lowest occupational exposure limit (LOEL) and lowest general public daily exposure (LGPDEL) when periods are not specified.
¶ ACGIH OEL is as low as 0.05 and as high as 0.20 depending on workload and time.
### Table 3. Hazard Indices for Components of Concern Based on Occupational and General Public Relevant Exposure Limits

#### Occupational Hazard Indices Based on Occupational Exposures or Fireline Measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components</th>
<th>Type of Study + Description*</th>
<th>Maximum Occupational TWA Value Reported</th>
<th>Maximum Occupational Short-Term or Instantaneous Exposure Reported</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Hazard Ratio (Daily Occupational 8-hr)</th>
<th>Hazard Ratio (Occupational Short-Term) †</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respirable Particles (PM$_{3.5/4}$)</td>
<td>Personal exposure at fireline</td>
<td>10500</td>
<td>µg/m$^3$</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Monoxide</td>
<td>Personal measurements</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1085¶ ppm</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>5.43§</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen Dioxide</td>
<td>Personal exposure</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>7.00¶ ppm</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>1.40§</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formaldehyde</td>
<td>Personal measurements</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.46 ppm</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acrolein</td>
<td>Personal exposure; measurement inside experimental firefighter mask</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td>0.129 ppm</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>Personal measurements</td>
<td>0.384</td>
<td>16.9# ppm</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Hazard Indices Based on Exposures Measured in Areas Remote from the Fireline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components</th>
<th>Type of Study + Description*</th>
<th>Maximum General Public TWA Value Reported</th>
<th>Maximum General Public Short-Term or Instantaneous Exposure Reported</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Hazard Ratio (Public Daily)</th>
<th>Hazard Ratio (Public Short-Term) ‡</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fine Particles (PM$_{2.5}$)</td>
<td>Personal measurements</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>µg/m$^3$</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance</td>
<td>Type of measurements</td>
<td>Measurement</td>
<td>Unit</td>
<td>Comparison</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Monoxide</td>
<td>Personal measurements</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>2.4**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozone</td>
<td>Area measurements</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>1.20**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Personal measurements are reported where available. Area measurements are given only when personal measurements are not available.
† Comparison is with STEL or ceiling values.
‡ Comparison with limits for exposure for 1-hour period or less.
¶ Instantaneous peak measurement.
§ Comparison is between instantaneous measurements and ceiling value.
|| Comparison of the short-term exposure with lowest ceiling value; note that TWA is also higher than the short-term exposure.
# 15-minute averages.
** Comparison is with USEPA 8-hr exposure standard.
†† Hourly averages.
Please refer to Excel file containing Tables 4 and 5.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class of Effects</th>
<th>Confidence Level</th>
<th>Basis for Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute Respiratory</td>
<td>strong evidence</td>
<td>consistent results across epidemiological studies of different designs and across different study regions; dose-response with ambient air PM concentration; plausibility indicated by results of epidemiological studies of ambient PM and experimental studies of wood smoke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute Cardiovascular</td>
<td>weak evidence</td>
<td>inconsistent results with few positive findings from small number of ecological epidemiological studies; few relevant experimental studies with inconsistent results with some positive findings; plausibility indicated by results of ambient PM studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth Outcome</td>
<td>not enough evidence to conclude</td>
<td>positive results from one ecological study; applicability of evidence from related exposure situation limited by various factors (lack of specificity in exposure, difference in exposure pattern, undefined temporality); no experimental evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildland Firefighter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute Respiratory</td>
<td>weak evidence</td>
<td>some effects observed in a few wildland firefighter studies; strong evidence from related exposure situation in humans; plausibility of effects supported by experimental studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute Cardiovascular</td>
<td>not enough evidence to conclude</td>
<td>virtual lack of study among wildland firefighters; applicability of evidence from related exposure situation limited by various factors (lack of specificity in exposure, difference between public and healthy wildland firefighter; undefined temporality); few relevant experimental studies with inconsistent results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Not Enough Evidence to Conclude</td>
<td>Virtual Lack of Study Among Wildland Firefighters; Applicability of Evidence From Related Exposure Situation Limited by Various Factors (Lack of Specificity in Exposure, Difference in Exposure Pattern, Undefined Temporality); Very Few Relevant Experimental Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic Respiratory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic Cardiovascular</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>