Effectiveness and longevity of fuel treatments in coniferous forests across California

Managers' Report: Lake Tahoe Basin Management Unit

Prepared by

Nicole Vaillant, Fire Ecologist, nvaillant@fs.fed.us, USDA Forest Service,
Western Wildland Environmental Threat Assessment Center

Erin Noonan-Wright, Fire Application Specialist, enoonan02@fs.fed.us, USDA Forest Service,
Wildland Fire Management Research Development and Applications

Scott Dailey, Fire Ecologist, scottdailey@fs.fed.us, USDA Forest Service,
Adaptive Management Services Enterprise Team

Carol Ewell, Fire Ecologist, cewell@fs.fed.us, USDA Forest Service,
Adaptive Management Services Enterprise Team

Alicia Reiner, Fire Ecologist, alreiner@fs.fed.us, USDA Forest Service,
Adaptive Management Services Enterprise Team

Kevin McCrummen, Biological Science Technician, kmcrummen@fs.fed.us, USDA Forest Service,
Adaptive Management Services Enterprise Team

JFSP Grant 09-1-01-1

Integrating science, technology and fire management.

Wildland Fire Management RD&A

Table of Contents

Background 2
Key Findings 3
Management Implications 4
Project Websites 4
Acknowledgements 4
Dollar (Project 50) 5
Project history 5
Project location map 6
Driving directions/GPS/plot layout 7
Paired pictures 8
Plot findings 9
Appendix A: Description of Supplied Files 15
Final report to the JFSP 15
FVS input database 15
Photo pairs 15
Plot maps 15
GIS shapefile 15
Appendix B: Sampling Protocol 16
Data collection protocol (inclusive of all plot layouts) 16
2003 detailed plot specifics 18
2003 fuels plot specifics 19

Background

Longevity of fuel treatment effectiveness to alter potential fire behavior is a critical question for managers preparing plans for fuel hazard reduction, prescribed burning, fire management, forest thinning, and other land management activities. Results from this study will help to reduce uncertainty associated with plan prioritization and maintenance activities. From 2001 to 2006, permanent plots were established in areas planned for hazardous fuel reduction treatments across 14 National Forests in California. Treatments included prescribed fire and mechanical methods (i.e., thinning of various sizes and intensities followed by a surface fuel treatment). After treatment, plots were re-measured at various intervals up to 10 years post-treatment. Very few empirically based studies exist with data beyond the first couple of years past treatment, and none span the breadth of California's coniferous forests. With the data gathered, this research aimed to meet three main objectives:

Objective 1) *Determine the length of time that fuel treatments are effective at maintaining goals of reduced fire behavior, by*

a) measuring effects of treatments on canopy characteristics and surface fuel loads over time, and
b) modeling potential fire behavior with custom fuel models.

Objective 2) *Quantify the uncertainty associated with the use of standard and custom fuel models.*

Objective 3) *Assess prescribed fire effects on carbon stocks and validate modeled outputs.*

This managers' report is meant to compliment the final report to the Joint Fire Science Program and supply project specific information that is not included in the regional assessment. This report includes a summary of Key Findings and Management Implications from the regional study as well as individual Forest-level information for each plot (i.e., project history, map, navigation directions, plot level findings, and plot protocol). For your use, we included a number of supplementary files with the digital version of this report. Included on the thumb drive are the following also described in Appendix A:

- Final report to the JFSP
- FVS Input database for your Forest for all projects (database file)
- Photo pairs for the plots on your Forest (power point file)
- Plot maps for each project on your Forest (pdf file)
- GIS shapefile with the plots on your Forest

All datasets for the regional project were input into the FFI (Feat/FIREMON Integrated) tool (www.frames.gov/partner-sites/ffi/ffi-home/) for future use and comparisons. Please contact Nicole Vaillant (nvaillant@fs.fed.us) for more information on obtaining the FFI data or other questions.

Key Findings

Objective 1- Determine the length of time that fuel treatments are effective at maintaining goals of reduced fire behavior by measuring effects of treatments on canopy characteristics and surface fuel loads over time and modeling potential fire behavior with custom fuel models.
Results have shown initial reductions in surface fuels from fire treatments recover to pre-treatment levels by 10 yr post-treatment. Mechanical treatments continue to have variable effects on surface fuels. With the exception of mechanical treatments in red fir, both treatment types resulted in increased live understory vegetation by 8 yr post-treatment relative to pre-treatment. Mechanical treatment effects on stand structure remains fairly consistent through 8 yr post. Fire-induced delayed mortality contributes to slight decreases in canopy cover and canopy bulk density over time. For both treatment types, overall canopy base height decreases in later years due to in-growth of smaller trees, but it remains higher than pre-treatment. The changes in fuel loads and stand structure are reflected in fire behavior simulations via custom fuel modeling. Surface fire flame lengths were initially reduced as a result of prescribed fire, but by 10 yr post-treatment they exceeded the pre-treatment lengths. Though a low proportion of fire type, initial reductions in potential crown fire returned to pre-treatment levels by 8 yr post-treatment; passive crown fire remained reduced relative to pre-treatment for the duration. Mechanical treatments showed variable and minimal effects on surface fire flame length over time; however the incidence of active crown fire was nearly halved from this treatment for the duration.

Objective 2- Quantify the uncertainty associated with the use of standard and custom fuel models
The Fire and Fuels Extension to the Forest Vegetation Simulator (FFE-FVS) was used to model potential fire behavior for plots treated with prescribed fire to determine the differences in modeled fire behavior using standard and custom fuel models. In general predicted fire behavior from custom versus standard fuel models were similar with mean surface fire flame lengths slightly higher using standard fuel models for all time steps until the 8 yr post-treatment. Similarly, custom fuel models predicted a higher instance of surface fire than standard fuel models with the exception of 8 yr post-treatment.

Objective 3- Assess prescribed fire effects on carbon stocks and validate modeled outputs.
To better understand the impact of prescribed fire on carbon stocks, we estimated aboveground and belowground (roots) carbon stocks using field measurement in FFE-FVS, and simulated wildfire emissions, before treatment and up to 8 yr post-prescribed fire. Prescribed fire treatments reduced total stand carbon by 13%, with the largest reduction in the forest floor (litter and duff) pool and the smallest reduction in the live tree pool. Combined carbon recovery and reduced wildfire emissions allowed the initial carbon source from simulated wildfire emissions and treatment to become a sink by 8 yr post-treatment relative to pre-treatment if both were to burn in a wildfire. In a comparison of field-derived versus FFE-FVS simulated carbon stocks, the total stand, tree, and belowground live carbon pools are highly correlated. However, the variability within the other carbon pools compared was high (up to 212%).

Management Implications

- ✓ Need more long term monitoring.
- ✓ The ability of a fuel treatment to maintain effectiveness in reducing fire behavior and effects depends on the accumulation rates and distribution of fuels, which are used as metrics to judge treatment longevity. Surface and understory fuel loading trends help inform managers' initial treatment and maintenance timelines, priorities, and adaptive management prescriptions.
- ✓ Stand and canopy structure trends help inform both fuel and silviculture integrated objectives and prioritizations.
- ✓ Despite extensive variability between plots, overall trends for treatment-forest combinations exist.
- ✓ Changes to modeled surface fire after prescribed fire treatment included an initial decrease in surface flame lengths, then an increase starting around 5 yr post-treatment.
- ✓ Overall, modeled fire behavior in mechanical treatments showed that goals of reduced fire behavior were initially reached, and then began diminishing around 5 to 8 yr post-treatment, with some positive changes still apparent through 8 yr post-treatment.
- ✓ In general, predicted fire behavior from custom versus standard fuel models was similar.
- ✓ Prescribed fire treatments reduced total stand carbon by about 13%, and total stand carbon stocks returned to 97% of pre-treatment levels after 8 yr post-treatment.
- ✓ Although the total stand carbon differences between field-derived and simulated carbon stocks are minimal, the variability within different carbon was great.

Project Websites

Please visit our project website in the next few months to year as reports are finalized and publications become available at http://www.fs.fed.us/adaptivemanagement/pub_reports/JFS_vaillant2.shtml.

The final report and many of our presentations and other deliverables will also be available via the Joint Fire Science Program website at http://www.firescience.gov/JFSP_advanced_search_results_detail.cfm?jdbid=%24%26Z%2F8W%20%20%20%0A.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge funding for this research from the USFS Region 5 Fire Aviation and Management and Joint Fire Sciences program (JFS 09-01-1-01). This project would have never gotten off the ground without the passion and drive of Jo Ann Fites-Kaufman. We thank the countless number of field crew members over the past 12 years, especially T. Decker and K. McCrummen for serving as crew leads during the past four years. Thank you to all the fire and fuels specialists on all the National Forests in California for providing invaluable insight and information about their fuel treatments.

Dollar (Project 50)

Project history

The Dollar project had six plots set up pre-treatment in 2004 using two different plot styles (detailed and fuels 2003). For details about the protocol used, please see "Appendix B: Sampling Protocol" at the end of the report. In late summer 2004, all but Plot3 received treatment and were re-measured. In 2008 Plots 4, 5, and 6 received a second treatment and were not re-measured past the two year post treatment reading. Plot 1 changed from a fuels to detail plot style in 2012.

For analysis at the regional level, plots from all projects were grouped into one of two treatment types (mechanical or prescribed fire) and one of three dominant forest types (yellow pine, red fir, or mixed conifer). All Dollar plots were grouped into the mechanical treatment category and the red fir forest type. Plots were sampled prior to treatment (P00), then 1 yr post (P01), and 2 yr post (P02). Plots 1 and 2, which were not included in the 2008 prescribed burn, continued to be re-measured for 5 yr post (P05) and 8 yr post (P08) (Table 1).

The Homewood and DL Bliss RAWSs were used for fire weather and fire behavior simulation modeling.

Table 1. Treatment visits completed by year for each of the plots in the project. ~Indicates that data was not collected for that plot and year.

Plot	2004	2005	2006	2009	2012
1	P00	P01	P02	P05	P08
2	P00	P01	P02	P05	P08
3	P00	~	~	~	~
4	P00	P01	P02	~	~
5	P00	P01	P02	~	~
6	P00	P01	P02	~	~

Treatment information

Prior treatment: There is evidence of a timber sale in the late 1960s or early 1970s, but no records to support that. East of the plots, salvage and sanitation cuts occurred in 1990.

During the project treatment: All plots except Plot 3 were thinned (<30 inches) with the fuels chipped (along 200 ft area along main road) otherwise piling and burning everywhere else in late summer of 2004 (East Shore EIS). Plots 4, 5, and 6 were subsequently treated with a prescribed burn in October 20, 2008.

Future treatment: None known.

Project location map



Figure 1. Location map for the Dollar fuel treatment plots, showing general location of plots, and inset displaying increased detail of plot locations.

Driving directions/GPS/plot layout

Driving directions

Plot 1- Travelling south on Hwy 267, turn right onto 16N73 ("Fiberboard Freeway" or "Mt. Watson Rd"). Drive 10.8 miles; start tree is at the intersection of 16N71 and 16N73 on the east/left side of the road.

Plot 2- Travelling south on Hwy 267, turn right onto 16N73. Drive 10.6 miles; start tree is on the left/east side of the road.

Plot 4- Travelling south on Hwy 267, turn right onto 16N73. Drive 9.5 miles to start tree that will be on the left (east) side of the road.

Plot 5- Travelling south on Hwy 267, turn right onto 16N73. Drive 8.7 miles to start tree that will be on the left/east side of the road.

Plot 6- See plot 5 directions.

Table 2. Directions (distance and azimuth) for walking from the "start tree" to plot. The azimuth takes into account the local declination. Distance and azimuth are approximate as they were recorded by crews walking in from the start tree (usually tagged tree near road edge).

Plot	Start tree (DBH and species)	Azimuth °	Distance
1	81 cm white fir	360	64 m
2	75 cm white fir	82	72 m
4	68 cm California red fir (swollen butt)	327	35 m
5	82 cm California red fir (dead top)	60	165 m
6	Same as Plot 5	85	134 m

Table 3. GPS coordinates for each plot (decimal degrees, datum NAD 1983, projection NAD_1983_California_Teale_Albers).

Plot	Latitude	Longitude
1	39.188861	-120.16095
2	39.190604	-120.162101
4	39.193964	-120.167975
5	39.196743	-120.168434
6	39.195842	-120.168571

Table 4. Plot layout line azimuths (degrees). See Appendix A for plot diagrams. Where CD is the main transect and F1 and F2 are the fuels transects.

Plot	Plot Type	CD	F1	F2
1	Detailed 2003	10	335	58
2	Detailed 2003	360	315	45
4	Detailed 2003	250	208	296
5	Detailed 2003	287	246	328
6	Detailed 2003	265	216	306

Paired pictures

Below is an example of pictures paired or matched over the time steps the plots were visited. All of the paired pictures are available in the supplied power point file.

Figure 2. Example paired photos showing changes over the time steps for Plot 2, main transect (CD) from pre-treatment in 2004 through 8 yr post-treatment in 2012.

Plot findings

Below are graphs and data tables of key metrics from the data gathered in the field for each plot and time period within the project.



Figure 3. Fuel loading (ton/ac) for the 1-hr (A), 10-hr (B), 100-hr (C), and 1000-hr (D) time lag fuel classes, and litter and duff (E) for each plot at each sampling time period.

P00-pre-treatment, P01-1 yr post-treatment, P02-2 yr post-treatment, etc.

Table 5. Fuel loading (ton/ac) for the 1-hr, 10-hr, 100-hr, and 1000-hr time lag fuel classes, and litter and duff by time period for all the plots in the Dollar fuel treatment project.

Plot	Time period	1-hr	10-hr	100-hr	1000-hr	Litter	Duff
1	P00	0.32	0.9	3.1	22.4	2.7	14.0
1	P01	5.07	5.1	0.6	30.0	6.0	26.8
1	P02	2.24	8.3	3.7	42.2	3.9	11.9
1	P05	0.18	1.7	2.5	23.1	4.0	9.1
1	P08	0.09	0.2	2.4	32.8	5.3	8.5
2	P00	1.97	4.6	1.8	2.7	5.8	30.4
2	P01	1.88	5.7	5.9	0.9	8.8	39.3
2	P02	1.39	4.8	4.1	2.3	6.3	19.5
2	P05	0.43	1.6	5.3	2.1	10.2	23.3
2	P08	0.55	1.9	2.4	3.3	8.9	14.4
4	P00	0.90	0.1	0.0	214.0	3.6	18.9
4	P01	0.54	1.6	3.0	156.8	5.8	25.7
4	P02	0.49	0.7	0.6	141.9	6.2	19.0
5	P00	0.85	1.1	0.6	5.5	2.3	11.9
5	P01	0.62	1.4	5.4	16.6	5.0	22.1
5	P02	0.36	2.4	1.8	42.0	2.7	8.4
6	P00	0.91	2.0	3.6	114.3	4.0	21.1
6	P01	1.27	2.7	7.1	74.0	7.5	33.3
6	P02	1.12	2.3	3.6	77.8	5.6	17.3



Figure 4. Average herbaceous plant and shrub cover for each plot at each sampling time period.

Table 6. Understory vegetation cover by time period for all the plots in the Dollar fuel treatment project.

Plot	Time period	Herbaceous cover (%)	Shrub cover (%)
1	P00	3	19
1	P01	0	8
1	P02	2	9
1	P05	0	12
1	P08	0	8
2	P00	2	12
2	P01	0	2
2	P02	1	5
2	P05	0	6
2	P08	0	5
4	P00	2	28
4	P01	0	18
4	P02	1	19
5	P00	4	19
5	P01	2	12
5	P02	3	13
6	P00	5	10
6	P01	1	9
6	P02	1	11



Figure 5. Canopy height and canopy base height (A), canopy bulk density (B), canopy cover from field data and FVS (C), quadratic mean diameter (D), and overstory and pole-sized tree density (E) for each plot at each sampling time period.

With the exception of *which indicates the data was not collected; zero values indicate tree data was not collected because of the type of plot installed, not a lack of trees (see Table 7).

Table 7. Canopy characteristics by time period for all the plots in the Dollar fuel treatment project. *Indicates the data was not collected for the given plot and time period.

Plot	Time period	Canopy cover (%) - field	Canopy cover (%) - FVS	Canopy height (ft)	Canopy base height (ft)	Canopy bulk density (kg/m^3)	Quadratic mean diameter (in)	Overstory (trees/ac)	Pole-sized (trees/ac)
1	P00	52	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
1	P01	28	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
1	P02	35	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
1	P05	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
1	P08	42	43	93.3	5.0	0.109	22.6	101	16
2	P00	51	49	103.8	6.0	0.172	21.7	150	0
2	P01	26	35	106.0	17.0	0.135	25.4	77	0
2	P02	30	35	107.4	18.0	0.125	25.4	77	0
2	P05	26	36	112.5	18.0	0.136	26.5	77	0
2	P08	32	36	116.0	18.0	0.111	26.7	77	0
4	P00	10	38	104.2	5.0	0.110	20.2	105	49
4	P01	19	28	99.3	20.0	0.077	20.9	77	0
4	P02	12	27	98.2	21.0	0.068	20.5	77	0
5	P00	53	66	82.5	1.0	0.278	13.0	239	550
5	P01	25	29	86.1	2.0	0.071	17.6	81	32
5	P02	27	29	86.9	4.0	0.073	17.6	81	32
6	P00	46	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
6	P01	27	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
6	P02	35	*	*	*	*	*	*	*



Figure 6. Surface fire flame length from custom fuel models using NEXUS for each plot at each sampling time period under 90th percentile fire weather conditions.

Table 8. Surface fire flame length (modeled in NEXUS with custom fuel models) and type of fire for 90th percentile fire weather conditions for all the plots in the Dollar fuel treatment project.
*Indicates the tree data was not collected and fire type was not modeled.

Plot	Time period	Surface fire flame length (ft)	Type of fire
1	P00	3.35	*
1	P01	3.1	*
1	P02	8.67	*
1	P05	3.45	*
1	P08	3.89	Active crown
2	P00	5.78	Conditional crown
2	P01	1.62	Conditional crown
2	P02	5.45	Conditional crown
2	P05	3.18	Conditional crown
2	P08	4.06	Surface
4	P00	1.66	Passive crown
4	P01	1.79	Surface
4	P02	6.64	Surface
5	P00	4.4	Active crown
5	P01	4.4	Passive crown
5	P02	4.88	Passive crown
6	P00	1.76	*
6	P01	3.97	*
6	P02	4.02	*

Appendix A: Description of Supplied Files

For your use we included a number of supplementary files with the digital version of this report (see the supplied thumb drive).

Final report to the JFSP

We included a digital version of the Final Report we submitted to the Joint Fire Science Program for the entire regional assessment.

FVS input database

For each Forest we included an FVS-ready database with all the plots from all the projects (*.mdb). The database includes two different StandInit and TreeInit tables depending on the plot types within the Forest; separate StandInit and TreeInit tables were created for the "detailed" plots and the "fuels" plots. We did this so one would not assume there was tree data available for all plots when it might not have been sampled. The fuel loading data was collected on all plots and is included by size class in both StandInit tables. For the detailed plots, the tree data collected is within the TreeInit table. For the fuels plots, a "dummy" tree list (a single white fir seedling) was created so the plots can be run through FVS, but caution should be used with these because of the lack of real tree data. If data was missing it is represented as a blank in the data tables.

Photo pairs

Most of the photos taken for each plot is included in the supplied Power Point file (*.pptx). Photos were taken along the main transect line(s) and fuel lines each time the plot was visited.

Plot maps

In addition to the imbedded maps in this report, we have supplied PDF versions of the project maps.

GIS shapefile

We supplied a GIS file with all the plots for the Forest.

Appendix B: Sampling Protocol

Data collection protocol (inclusive of all plot layouts)

Plot information naming example

1. Forest name: *"Tahoe NF"*
2. Forest ICS code: *"TNF"*
3. Project name: "Jaybird"
4. Project number: pre-determined for tracking purposes
5. Status: P00=pre-treatment, P01=1st year post, P02=2nd year post, etc.
6. Plot number: *"1"*
7. Surveyors: *"last name, first initial"*
8. Date: *"5/8/09"*
9. Notes: general notes about the area, treatment, anything that stands out

Shrub transect(s) (50 m)

Collect shrub information (for any shrubs that intersect the transect tape) along the length of the transect(s): transect, species, status (live/dead), shrub range in decimeters (dm, distance along transect, i.e. 0.6-0.9 m=3 dm), average height (cm).

Herbs (1x1 m quadrats)

Collect herbaceous species information for all plants rooted in the quadrat. Record the transect, frame, life form (fern, forb, grass, vine, other, unknown), status (live/dead), average height (cm), species (if you know it), and cover class (1=0-5%; 2= 6-25%; 3= 26-50%; 4=51-75%; 5=76-95%; 6=96-100%). Also please take general botany notes for the plot, such as species observed in the plot overall but not captured in the quadrats, and general observations about how much of the plot has weeds or herbaceous plant dominance.

Seedlings (<2.5 cm DBH)

Tally seedlings by species code, status (live/dead), and height class (15=1-15 cm; 30=16-30 cm; 60=31-60 cm; 100=61-100 cm; 200=101-200 cm; 300=201-300 cm, etc.).

Pole-sized trees (>2.5 to <15 cm DBH, and > 4.5 ft (1.37 m) tall)

Live poles: tag #, species, DBH (cm), status (live/dead), partial crown height (m), total tree height (m), canopy class (D=dominant, CD=codominant, I=intermediate, S=suppressed).

Dead poles: tag#, species, DBH (cm), status (live/dead), total tree height (m), decay class (1 newly dead thru 5 long dead).

Overstory trees (>15 cm DBH and > 4.5 ft (1.37 m) tall)

Live trees: tag #, species, DBH (cm), status (live/dead), partial crown height (m), total tree height (m), canopy class (D, CD, I, S).

Dead trees: tag#, species, DBH (cm), status (live/dead), total tree height (m), decay class (1 newly dead thru 5 long dead).

Canopy cover

Collect and record canopy cover, using the moosehorn (canopy sight tube) along the main transects (AB and/or CD) every 1m, starting at 1m and ending at 50m. The moosehorn should be held at the meter mark on the tape, standing on the side of the shrub transect opposite to the side where the herb quadrats are being place. Count the number of hits or intersections, out of 25, where canopy overlaps the grid intersections.

Fuel loading

Each planar fuel transect is 50 ft in length and information is gathered to characterize surface and ground fuels and fuel bed depth.

Surface fuels (1, 10, 100, 1000-hr)

Record the project, plot, transect and tallies for small fuel classes (1, 10, 100-hr), and take notes on the **dominant trees or shrub species** contributing to the fuel load for each transect.

Tally: 1-hr (>0.25”) from 0-6 ft, 10-hr (0.25-<1”) from 0-6 ft, 100-hr (1-<3”) from 0-12 ft.

Record the species, diameter (cm), and status (rotten/sound) for each 1000-hr (> 3’) from 0-50 ft.

Ground fuels (litter/duff/chips)

Measure and record litter and duff depth (thickness) measurements to the nearest 1 cm (measure thickness of each layer, not depth from surface). Starting at 1 foot, take 10 readings, one every 5 ft on each transect: (1 ft, 5 ft, 10 ft… 45 ft). Duff begins where the litter layer organic materials have begun to decompose, and duff ends where the composition is greater than 50% mineral soil. If a sampling spot lands exactly on a log, rock, or other obstruction, take the reading immediately adjacent to the obstruction. If you hit bare soil, your reading will be 0.

If there was mastication/chipping completed, record the depth of the chipped materials as well.

Fuel bed depth

Measure and record the height of the **tallest** downed and dead woody fuel for ten 5 ft collection point intervals (0-5 ft, 5-10 ft, 10-15 ft, up to 45-50 ft) along the planar transect. Measure from the **base of the litter layer to the top of the fuel particle**; measure to the nearest whole cm. If you do not have any dead and downed fuels, your measure will be based on the maximum litter depth in that interval.

Photos

Avoid people and gear in the photos. Line up with the photos supplied from previous plot visits to the best of your ability. Use a photo board to document the photo location within the photos, matching the plot naming protocol example above. **Always take the photos in a portrait orientation (up and down) with the transect tape in the bottom middle of the image**. Photos were only taken from 0 to 50 ft for each fuels transect (labeled F1, F2, etc.), from C to D (and A to B if applicable) for the shrub transect, and one general picture of the plot (this one will not have an old photo to match).

2003 detailed plot specifics

Shrub transects (50 m)

There is one transect (CD) for these plots. It **should** be contour to the slope.

Herb quadrats

There are five quadrats for these plots. They are located from 9-10 m, 19-20 m, 29-30 m, 39-40 m, and 49-50 m along the uphill side of CD transect.

Seedlings

This is a circular plot starting at the pole/seedling origin rebar (at 33.92 m on transect CD) extending out and around 3.99 m in all directions.

Pole-sized trees

This is a circular plot starting at the pole/seedling origin rebar (at 33.92 m on transect CD) extending out and around 8.92 m in all directions.

Overstory trees

This is a circular plot starting from the origin (at 25 m on transect CD) extending out and around 17.85 m in all directions.

Canopy cover

A total of 50 canopy cover readings will be measured. They will start at 1m and continue every meter until the end of the transect CD (50 m).

Fuel loading

There are two 50 ft fuel transects for this layout. They both start at 7.15 m along the CD transect and have a rebar labeled "F1/F2 0ft". F1 extends uphill at a 45º angle toward the center of the plot, F2 extends downhill at a 45º angle toward the center of the plot.



Figure 7. Plot layout diagram for the detailed plots installed from 2003 to 2006.

2003 fuels plot specifics

Starting in 2012 tree data was collected on Fuels 2003 plots that were visited. You need to establish the pole/overstory and seedling rebar and tag all pole and overstory trees and gather data on all size classes!

Shrub transect

There is one transect (CD) for these plots. It **should** be contour to the slope.

Herb quadrats

There are 5 quadrats for these plots. They are located from 9-10 m, 19-20 m, 29-30 m, 39-40 m, and 49-50 m along the uphill side of CD transect.

Canopy cover

A total of 50 canopy cover readings will be measured. They will start at 1m and continue every meter until the end of the transect CD (50 m).

Fuel loading

There are two 50 ft fuel transects for this layout. They both start at 7.15 m along the CD transect and have a rebar labeled "F1/F2 0 ft". F1 extends uphill at a 45º angle toward the center of the plot, F2 extends downhill at a 45º angle toward the center of the plot.



Figure 8. Plot layout diagram for the fuels plots installed from 2003 to 2006.