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Executive Summary

Forest managers in the Sierra Nevada have been applying a variety of prescribed fire and mechanical thinning prescriptions in mixed conifer forests to meet different objectives. Some of these objectives include reducing fuel amounts, changing fuel vertical structure, restoring pre-settlement composition and structure, and maintaining late-successional wildlife habitat. The character and severity of prescribed fire and thinning treatments varies with the mix of objectives. Given the expense of implementing prescribed burns, and the difficulty in meeting regional air-quality restrictions, managers want to know to what extent mechanical thinning can replace the need for burning to reduce fuels and risk of catastrophic wildfire. We established a series of complementary experimental and survey studies in a long-term factorial experiment to determine the effect of burning and thinning intensity on understory tree survival and growth in order to estimate future impacts on stand composition and fuel structure. Measurements of soil moisture, light, ground cover, and shrub density were related to the tree responses.

Several important findings have resulted from this study:
1. Traditional cool-season burning treatments do not greatly reduce understory tree density, but do accomplish greater mortality, particularly of incense-cedar, than mechanical damage from thinning alone.

2. Moderate-severity thinning treatments designed to maintain late-successional forest habitat promote high densities of shade-tolerant white fir and incense-cedar seedlings and relatively few Jeffrey and sugar pine seedlings.

3. High-severity shelterwood/seed-tree treatments designed to promote new stand establishment tend to result in fewer seedlings, with more representation by pines, but can also stimulate vigorous shrub growth.

4. Multiple management entries over time, or re-establishment of frequent understory fire, may be necessary in treated stands to preclude development of dense ladder fuels.

5. It may be difficult to design treatments that maintain late-successional habitat and reduce understory tree density at the same locations in the short term. Treatments that combine selected attributes of the prescribed fire, moderate-severity, and high-severity treatments may be successful at reducing understory live fuels and moving stands towards pre-settlement structure and composition.

Project objectives and deliverables

The overall objective of this study was to determine local biological and physical effects of alternative fuel treatments. Specific objectives included:

1. Determine the effects of alternative fire re-introduction and fire surrogate techniques on understory tree mortality and regeneration in order to understand treatment
effectiveness at reducing live understory fuels, and potential future development of understory fuels.

2. Determine the response of soil moisture to treatments, and the importance of soil moisture and depth in understanding forest structure and tree establishment response to treatments.

3. Engage in technology transfer with local managers to discuss findings in relation to treatment effects and potential future management approaches.

The project objectives were achieved without modification, although the products and deliverables were compiled and produced somewhat differently than originally envisioned. The project got a bit of a late start as a result of delays in hiring a research assistant, but this, in combination with some additional support from the PNW Research Station, allowed us to collect an additional season of field data and complete our intended analyses. The establishment of the factorial treatments of burning and thinning severity, and sharing of data and experimental designs with other principal investigators on the Teakettle Experiment (JFSP Project # 01-3-1-05) were instrumental in accomplishing our goals.

Several presentations (led by Gray or Zald) and two publications (Gray et al. 2005, Zald et al. *submitted*) contain the principal results of the project, examining pre-treatment and post-treatment patterns of tree regeneration in relation to stand structure and microsite environments. Instead of a stand-alone paper on soil moisture responses to treatments (as originally envisioned), those results have been melded with the regeneration papers and presentations, and used in several additional papers co-authored with Teakettle collaborators (presentations and papers led by North and Innes).

Citations for these products are provided below. Those marked with an asterisk are including in the enclosed CD. Products and additional links for information are also provided on the website: http://teakettle.ucdavis.edu/.

I originally envisioned a presentation and General Technical Report summarizing study results and management implications. Instead, I have met with several individuals from the Sierra National Forest at the Teakettle site to discuss results, and have provided interviews and demonstrations in support of a film and interactive DVD designed to share Teakettle results with a broader audience (JFSP Project # 05-4-1-10). In addition, Malcolm North and I will be collaborating on a paper synthesizing the management implications of the various Teakettle studies, for possible publication in Journal of Forestry. Resulting from our discussions with local managers, we are also greatly interested in taking promising results of the current study to collaboratively develop a new fuel-reduction/forest-restoration prescription as a demonstration project in the area.
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