

1
2
3 **Mapping ground cover using hyperspectral remote sensing after**
4 **the 2003 Simi and Old wildfires in southern California**
5
6
7
8
9

10
11 Sarah A. Lewis^{1*}, Leigh B. Lentile², Andrew T. Hudak¹,
12 Peter R. Robichaud¹, Penelope Morgan², Michael J. Bobbitt²
13

14 ¹Forest Service, US Department of Agriculture, Rocky Mountain Research Station, 1221 S. Main St., Moscow,
15 Idaho, 83843

16 ²University of Idaho, Department of Forest Resources, 6th and Line St., Moscow, Idaho, 83844-1133

17 *corresponding author: sarahlewis@fs.fed.us, Ph. (208) 883-2346, Fax (208) 883-2372
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25 Submission to: a special issue of the Journal of Fire Ecology—from the Association for Fire
26 Ecology Fire Management Congress, November 2006

27 **ABSTRACT**

28 Wildfire effects on the ground surface are indicative of the potential for post-fire
29 watershed erosion response. Areas with remaining organic ground cover will likely
30 experience less erosion than areas of complete ground cover combustion and/or exposed
31 mineral soil. The Simi and Old Fires burned ~67,000 ha in southern California in 2003. Burn
32 severity indices calculated from pre- and post-fire multispectral imagery were differenced
33 (i.e., dNBR) to highlight fire-induced changes in soil and vegetation. Aerial and field
34 hyperspectral data were also collected together with field ground cover measurements soon
35 after the Simi and Old Fires. Spectral endmembers representing charred and uncharred soil
36 and rock, and green, non-photosynthetic, and charred vegetation were used in a constrained
37 linear spectral unmixing process to determine the post-fire fractional ground cover of each
38 surface component. The spectral unmixing results, dNBR, and Relative dNBR (RdNBR) were
39 validated using fractional ground cover estimates from the field to see which product best
40 represented the conditions on the ground. The spectral unmixing results were significantly
41 correlated to all classes of charred and uncharred organics and inorganics, and the dNBR was
42 the best indicator of charred soil and green vegetation. The RdNBR had several significant
43 correlations with the ground data, yet did not consistently correlate well with any specific
44 ground cover types. A map of post-wildfire ground cover and condition, especially soil and
45 remaining vegetative cover, is a good indicator of the fire's effect on the ground surface and
46 the resulting potential for hydrologic response.

47

48 **Keywords:** Hyperspectral; burn severity; remote sensing; southern California wildfires;
49 spectral mixture analysis; dNBR; Relative dNBR

50

51 INTRODUCTION

52 Post-wildfire maps are created from remotely sensed data as soon as the fire is out to
53 capture immediate post-fire conditions of soils and vegetation. These maps are commonly
54 referred to as burn severity maps and are primarily used to assist rapid-response rehabilitation
55 crews assess the immediate and long-term fire effects on vegetation, soil, and related
56 ecological processes (Lentile *et al.*, 2006). Standard burn severity mapping methodologies are
57 based upon the classification of spectral indices (such as the Normalized Burn Ratio, or NBR)
58 calculated from differenced pre- and post-fire multispectral satellite imagery (Key and
59 Benson, 2002; Clark *et al.*, 2003). For these burn severity maps, the landscape is classified
60 into categories of unburned, low, moderate, and high, corresponding to the relative magnitude
61 of change in the post-wildfire appearance of vegetation, litter, and soil (Miller and Yool,
62 2002; Lentile *et al.*, 2006; Lutes *et al.*, 2006).

63 Effects of fire on the ground surface with erosion-related implications include an increase
64 in exposed soil and ash and a decrease in protective ground cover such as litter and duff. This
65 post-fire organic ground cover, whether charred or uncharred, can provide protection against
66 soil erosion (Ice *et al.*, 2004; Kokaly *et al.*, 2007). Conversely, areas with exposed mineral
67 soil or ash cover are at an increased risk for erosion by wind and water (DeBano, 2000;
68 Robichaud, 2000; Ravi *et al.*, 2006). Ash cover is indicative of complete organic material
69 combustion. Because water repellent soils may be formed when these organic materials burn
70 on the soil surface, water repellent soils are often found where post-fire ash cover is high
71 (Lewis *et al.*, 2006). Additionally, fire-induced water repellent soil conditions occur when
72 waxy chemicals from plant materials are volatilized during burning coat coarse-textured soil
73 particles at or near the soil surface, which is common in chaparral communities (Barro and
74 Conard, 1991; CDF 2003; Hubbert *et al.*, 2006). Fire can also strengthen and drive a
75 naturally-occurring water repellent soil layer deeper into the soil profile (DeBano, 2000).
76 Fire-induced or enhanced soil water repellency may allow the top 1-5 cm of the soil profile
77 above the water repellent layer to hold water, but once this wettable layer becomes saturated,
78 erosion is likely, particularly on steep slopes with coarse-textured soils coupled with intense
79 rainfall.

80 The chaparral community is a shrubby, sclerophyllous vegetation type that is common in
81 middle elevations throughout much of California (Barro and Conard, 1991). Common
82 chaparral trees and shrub genera include *Adenostoma*, *Arctostaphylos*, *Ceanothus*,
83 *Cercocarpus*, *Prunus*, *Quercus*, and *Rhamnus*. Chaparral vegetation is well adapted to
84 frequent fires that were historically common in the area. Chaparral plant adaptations include
85 rapid post-fire root sprouting, prolific seeding, seed banking, fire-stimulated seed
86 germination, and allelopathy (Hanes, 1977; Keeley, 2006a). Frequent fire results in
87 conversion of shrub-dominated systems to those dominated by a mix of alien annual grasses
88 and forbs from the Mediterranean Basin. Ground cover is relatively sparse when shrubs are
89 dominant, and forb (e.g. *Phacelia*, *Penstomen*, *Mimulus* spp.) and grass species are more
90 common in these systems following fire (McAuley, 1996). Following fire the presence of
91 native forbs (e.g., *Phacelia* spp., *Penstomen* spp., *Mimulus* spp.) and grasses (e.g., *Nassella*
92 spp., *Avena* spp., and *Bromus* spp.) tend to be ephemeral (< 2 years), while non-native post-
93 fire invaders (e.g., *Bromus diandrus* (rip-gut brome), *Bromus tectorum* (cheatgrass),
94 *Centaurea solstitialis* (yellow-star thistle), *Erodium* species (filaree), and *Trifolium hirtum*
95 (rose clover) may persist longer (Keeley, 2006b).

96 Because many chaparral fires occur near homes and other resources in the wildland urban
97 interface in southern California, agencies are often obligated to aggressively pursue fire
98 suppression and rehabilitation activities. Post-fire rehabilitation treatments include seeding,
99 used as a soil stabilization measure, to reduce soil erosion and the threat of debris flow and
100 flooding. Several studies have shown that these measures do not always substantially reduce
101 erosion or flooding (Robichaud *et al.*, 2000; Beyers, 2004), and that native flora may be
102 displaced by non-natives accidentally introduced into seed mixes (Keeley, 2006b). A
103 combination of pre-fire vegetation conditions, soil texture, fire intensity, and post-fire
104 weather events can complicate post-fire mitigation decisions.

105 One key difference between chaparral and forested ecosystems is the density of pre-fire
106 vegetation. Chaparral systems have more open canopies and tend to have less litter
107 accumulation on the ground than in a typical coniferous forest. This creates a unique situation
108 when classifying the severity of the fire using a differenced index (dNBR) which is dependent
109 on the magnitude of change from pre- to post-fire condition (Miller and Thode, in press).
110 Where vegetation is sparse, the complete removal of vegetation due to fire will be classified
111 as high burn severity, even though the relative change to the pre-fire condition is not as great
112 and the effects on the soil are also likely not as severe. This potentially leads to unnecessary
113 treatment for erosion mitigation based on the perceived burn severity, rather than the physical
114 alteration of the soil. Miller and Thode (in press) have recently proposed a new index, the
115 Relative dNBR or RdNBR which takes into account the relative pre-fire to post-fire change in
116 amount of vegetation across the landscape. They suggest that this relative index may be more
117 appropriate than the dNBR for mapping sparse vegetation or mixed vegetation types.

118 Higher spatial and spectral resolution airborne hyperspectral data also have the potential
119 to improve upon traditional burn severity maps by providing fine-scale quantitative
120 information about post-fire ground cover and condition (Robichaud *et al.*, in press). Rather
121 than applying a discrete classification to an area, a final product from hyperspectral imagery
122 can provide an estimate of the percent remaining of post-fire charred ground cover and ash
123 for an area (pixel) as small as 4 or 5 meters. This information may be helpful in guiding post-
124 fire assessment to determine locations to apply rehabilitation treatments by better quantifying
125 the effects of the fire on the soil surface.

126 The reflectance from a specific image pixel is a mixture of the individual reflectance
127 spectra (endmembers) of the mix of surface materials (Adams *et al.*, 1985; Smith *et al.*, 1990;
128 Roberts *et al.*, 1993). Each pixel retains the characteristic features of the individual spectra
129 from each of the component reflective materials. Once endmember spectra are identified,
130 spectral unmixing of individual pixels can estimate the fractional component spectra and, in
131 turn, the physical fractional component of the materials within the pixels (Adams *et al.*, 1985;
132 Roberts *et al.*, 1993; Theseira *et al.*, 2003). Most rural landscape scenes can be mapped as
133 endmember combinations of green vegetation, non-photosynthetic vegetation, soil and rock,
134 and shade (Roberts *et al.*, 1993; Adams *et al.*, 1995; Theseira *et al.*, 2003). More specifically
135 related to fire, hyperspectral imagery has been used to map fractional cover of ash, soil, green
136 and non-photosynthetic vegetation in post-fire scenes (Jia *et al.*, 2006; Kokaly *et al.*, 2007;
137 Robichaud *et al.*, in press). Our objectives were to assess the potential of hyperspectral
138 imagery to provide a better estimate of post-fire soil condition, particularly the amount of
139 high soil burn severity, than had been achieved with traditional multispectral imagery.

140

141 **METHODS**

142 **Study area**

143 The Simi and Old Fires were two of several large wildfires that burned throughout
144 southern California during the fall of 2003 (Figure 1). These fires threatened thousands of
145 homes and impacted air and water quality throughout the region. The Simi Fire began on 25
146 October 2003 and burned 43,800 ha in Ventura and Los Angeles counties, before being
147 contained on 2 November 2003 (Clark *et al.*, 2003). Driven by strong Santa Ana winds, the
148 fire jumped State Highway 126 and burned around the densely populated towns of Simi
149 Valley, Moorpark and Saticoy, California. The Simi Fire burned in a mix of vegetation types
150 including chaparral (the dominant vegetation type), coastal sage scrub, and annual grasslands
151 and across a diversity of topographic conditions including sandy, rolling hills and very steep,
152 rocky terrain. The underlying bedrock in the area is comprised of sedimentary rock, with
153 overlying sandy loam soils (USDA, 2006). The soils and rock are light colored, and large
154 patches of rocky outcrops are common. Immediately post-fire, a burn severity map for the
155 Simi Fire was created from post-fire airborne multispectral MASTER imagery
156 (masterweb.jpl.nasa.gov) acquired on 1 November 2003 (Clark *et al.*, 2003). The majority of
157 the area within the Simi Fire perimeter was burned at low or moderate severity, and BAER
158 (Burned Area Emergency Response) teams in the field reported that the burn severity map
159 was generally representative of the conditions observed on the ground (CDF, 2003; Clark *et al.*
160 *et al.*, 2003). However, several watersheds were assessed as having burned at higher severity
161 than indicated on the map and were identified as areas at risk for increased post-fire erosion
162 and debris flow (Cannon *et al.*, 2003; CDF, 2003). The BAER assessment team also noted the
163 presence of white ash in severely burned areas and therefore the potential presence of water
164 repellent soils (CDF, 2003).

165 The Old Fire began on 28 October 2003 and burned 23,300 ha north of San Bernadino,
166 California. The Old Fire burned in chaparral and interior woodland vegetation, also on rough
167 terrain. The Old Fire burned more in the wildland urban interface than did the Simi Fire, as
168 the area around Lake Arrowhead is densely populated, with homes deep in the wooded areas,
169 which have suffered from a serious mountain pine beetle outbreak. The combined effects of
170 frequent human and natural ignitions, hot dry summers, frequent and extended droughts, the
171 high flammability of chaparral vegetation, and forest trees killed by bark beetles, make these
172 ecosystems extremely susceptible to intense crown fires (Barro and Conard, 1991; Keeley,
173 2000; Keeley and Fotheringham, 2001). The immediate post-fire burn severity map for the
174 Old Fire was created from post-fire MODIS imagery that was acquired on 5 November 2003
175 (Clark *et al.*, 2003). These burn severity maps were used in the BAER team's assessment of
176 the potential for increased runoff and erosion and to guide post-fire rehabilitation activities.
177 These maps were also used to guide our field site selection, but were not used in any
178 subsequent analyses.

179 **Field data collection**

180 Post-fire soil and vegetation data were collected in December 2003 at six sites on each
181 fire. These sites were selected using the immediate post-fire burn severity maps as a guide
182 and classified by observation in the field as low, moderate, or high severity if tree/shrub
183 crowns were predominantly green, brown, or black, respectively. On the Simi Fire, two sites
184 we sampled were classified as low burn severity, three as moderate and one as high. On the
185 Old Fire, one site we sampled was classified as low burn severity, three as moderate and two

186 as high. These burn severity classes were only used as a general guide to ensure that the field
187 data covered the full range of burn severity conditions within each fire. More low and
188 moderate burn severity sites were selected at each fire because, as found elsewhere, these
189 sites showed more spatial heterogeneity in fire effects than the high severity sites (Hudak *et*
190 *al.*, 2004). Each site was centered in a random location 80–140 m from the nearest access
191 road, within a consistent stand and burn severity condition. Each site consisted of nine 9 m x
192 9 m (figure 2 says 8x8 – need to clarify center to center or edge to edge) plots and each plot
193 was comprised of fifteen 1 m x 1 m subplots, for a total of 135 subplots (Figure 2). Plot
194 centers were geolocated with a Trimble GeoExplorer (trade names are included for the
195 benefit of the reader and do not imply endorsement by the US Department of Agriculture or
196 the University of Idaho) and differentially corrected. Subplot centers were positioned with
197 measurement tape and compass based on systematic distances and bearings from plot center
198 (see detailed description in Hudak *et al.*, 2004 or Hudak *et al.*, this issue).

199 At the subplot scale, the fractional cover of vegetative cover and percent char of green
200 vegetation, rock, mineral soil, ash, litter (new and old), and any large organic matter (logs,
201 branches or stumps) were ocularly estimated. Minor ground cover fractions were estimated
202 first, and a value of one percent was recorded if there was a trace of the material within the
203 subplot. The more abundant fractional ground cover components (often exposed mineral soil
204 and rock, ash, and litter) were then estimated in 5% increments with the largest cover
205 component estimated last. All cover fractions were required to sum to unity. Exposed mineral
206 soil and rock were considered ground cover for the purpose of accounting for all physical
207 space within a plot. New litter, mostly fallen leaves and needles from scorched shrubs and
208 trees, was estimated separately from the other cover fractions present at the time of the burn,
209 to best capture the ground conditions immediately after the fire. Thus, new litter was not
210 included in the cover fractions that summed to unity. At the center of each site, the depth of
211 new litter (deposited post-fire), old litter, and duff were measured, a convex spherical
212 densiometer was used to measure canopy cover (if any), and a digital photo was taken for
213 reference.

214 **Remotely sensed data collection**

215 *Field spectra:* Multiple spectra of soil, rock, and green, non-photosynthetic vegetation
216 (NPV), and charred vegetation materials were collected in December 2003 after both the Simi
217 and Old Fires using an ASD (Analytical Spectral Devices, Boulder, Colorado, USA) Pro-FR
218 field spectroradiometer. Spectra were collected with the bare tip foreoptic (FOV 22°) pointed
219 at the target material. The ASD Pro-FR reports reflectance in 2151 channels spaced
220 contiguously at 1 nm intervals over the 350 to 2500-nm wavelength range, spanning nearly
221 the same portion of the electromagnetic (EM) spectrum as the Probe I sensor used for
222 airborne imaging. The field spectrometer was calibrated against a Spectralon (Labsphere,
223 North Sutton, New Hampshire, USA) 100% reflective panel immediately before and at
224 frequent intervals during field spectra collection. Spectralon is a bright calibration target with
225 well-documented reflectance in the 400 to 2500-nm region of the EM spectrum, and is used
226 to convert relative reflectance to absolute reflectance. Representative spectra from the Old
227 Fire are shown in Figure 3.

228 *Airborne hyperspectral:* Airborne hyperspectral imagery, which covered the range of
229 burn severities observed and included all field sites, was collected on 4 January 2004. One
230 flight line of data was collected over the Simi Fire (Figure 4) and five flight lines of data were

231 collected over the Old Fire (Figures 5). The Probe I whisk-broom sensor (Earth Search
232 Sciences Inc.(ESSI), Lakeside, Montana, USA) was flown at 2100 m above ground level and
233 data were collected along a track ~28 km long and 2.3 km wide, corresponding to a 512
234 pixel-wide swath with each pixel 4.2 m by 4.2 m at nadir. Reflected EM energy from the
235 surface was received in 128 contiguous spectral bands that spanned 432 to 2512 nm, with a
236 spectral bandwidth of 11 to 19 nm.

237 *Radiometric pre-processing:* The airborne hyperspectral data were converted to
238 reflectance using ACORN (Atmospheric CORrection Now) without any additional artifact
239 suppression (ACORN version 5, Analytical Imaging and Geophysics, 2002). These
240 reflectance data were further refined with a radiative transfer ground-controlled (RTGC)
241 calibration (Clark *et al.*, 2003). This process involved calculating a multiplier from the
242 differences between the mean image-reflectance spectrum over the area where bright target
243 calibration field spectra were collected and the corresponding average field-reflectance
244 spectrum. The multiplier was then applied to the ACORN corrected image-reflectance data
245 (Clark *et al.*, 2003).

246 *Geometric pre-processing:* An on-board global positioning system (GPS) and inertial
247 measurement unit (IMU) acquired geolocation data that were matched with the spectral data.
248 The geolocation data, together with a 30-m digital elevation model, were used to generate
249 Input Geometry (IGM) files for georeferencing the imagery. The RTGC reflectance images
250 were georeferenced using these vendor-supplied IGM files. Upon examination, however,
251 these georeferenced images were found to be distorted by up to seven pixels (~30 m). The
252 IGM solution files were unable to rectify even larger, systematic distortions in the underlying
253 imagery delivered by ESSI. Therefore, we rubber sheeted the IGM output images to a 1-m
254 resolution digital orthophoto mosaic produced in ERDAS Imagine (version 8.7), from digital
255 orthophoto quads downloaded from the California Spatial Information Library
256 (http://archive.casil.ucdavis.edu/casil/remote_sensing/doq). Rubber sheeting requires a dense,
257 systematic grid of image tie points, which were obtained using an automated, area-based
258 correlation algorithm coded in Interactive Data Language (IDL) by Kennedy and Cohen
259 (2003). The program allows the user to specify the size and spacing of the image analysis
260 window, define multiple levels of pixel aggregation, and set scale factors to zoom in and
261 more precisely designate tie points. After manually defining a single image tie point in each
262 flight line to provide the program with a starting reference point, the program generated all
263 the rest in a systematic grid across the image. The same parameters were used to produce 810
264 tie points for the Simi image strip, and between 323 and 647 for the five Old image strips,
265 with the number varying as a function of the length of the flight line. The output ASCII files
266 contain the X and Y coordinates of the tie points from the input and reference images, which
267 can be imported directly into the Imagine georectification utility for rubber sheeting. Each
268 image strip was resampled to a 4 m resolution using cubic convolution resampling. The five
269 rectified images at the Old fire were then mosaicked using nearest neighbor resampling, also
270 in Imagine. Finally, the geolocation accuracy of the rectified Simi fire flight line and Old fire
271 mosaic was verified using approximately a dozen differentially-corrected GPS points
272 collected in the field at the middle of road intersections near our field sites. All of these GPS
273 points were centered where they ought to have been, when displayed over the rectified
274 imagery. We are confident that all of these preprocessing steps produced the fully
275 radiometrically and geometrically rectified hyperspectral imagery desired for this analysis.

276 *Landsat multispectral:* Landsat ETM+ data were obtained for the purpose of comparing
 277 immediate dNBR and RdNBR index values to the hyperspectral image analysis results. For
 278 the Simi Fire, the pre-fire Landsat scene was collected on 12 September 2002 and the post-
 279 fire scene was collected on 10 November 2003. For the Old Fire, the pre-fire scene was
 280 collected on 7 October 2002 and the post-fire scene was collected on 19 November 2003. The
 281 images were provided by the USFS Remote Sensing Applications Center and had been
 282 already orthorectified, calibrated, and converted to top-of-atmosphere reflectance.

283

284 **DATA ANALYSIS**

285 **Ground data**

286 The soil and vegetation data were combined into four categories: uncharred organics (e.g.,
 287 green vegetation and NPV), charred organics (burned shrub stems, grasses, and leaves and
 288 needles), uncharred inorganics (rocks and soil), and charred inorganics (rock, soil, and ash)
 289 (Table 1). These classes broadly relate to burn severity and erosion potential as post-fire
 290 organic ground cover decreases erosion potential by protecting the soil.

291 **Remotely sensed image data**

292

293 *Hyperspectral image analysis:* A linear spectral unmixing algorithm was applied to the
 294 fully pre-processed hyperspectral data to determine pixel fractions of green vegetation
 295 (grveg), charred vegetation (charveg), and uncharred (soil) and charred (charsoil) inorganic
 296 ground cover:

$$297 \rho_{pixel} = \sum \{ \rho_e C_e \} + \varepsilon = \{ \rho_{grveg} C_{grveg} + \rho_{charveg} C_{charveg} + \rho_{soil} C_{soil} + \rho_{charsoil} C_{charsoil} \} + \varepsilon$$

$$298 \sum C_e = 1.0$$

(Eq. 1 and 2)

299 where ρ and C are the reflectance and cover fraction of each endmember, respectively, and ε
 300 is an error term. The sum of the individual cover fractions sum to unity in Eq. 2. The field
 301 spectra used as endmembers are shown in Figure 3. A single image pixel is a mixture of the
 302 sum of the individual reflectance spectra (endmembers) of the component reflective surface
 303 materials (Adams *et al.*, 1985; Smith *et al.*, 1990; Roberts *et al.*, 1993). Once endmember
 304 spectra are identified, spectral unmixing of individual image pixels can estimate the fractional
 305 component spectra and, in turn, the physical fractional component of the materials (Adams *et*
 306 *al.*, 1985; Roberts *et al.*, 1993; Theseira *et al.*, 2003). The product of the spectral mixture
 307 analysis (SMA) is a fractional cover image for each the input materials, which are scaled
 308 from 0 to 1. Zero indicates that none of the target material is present in the pixel, while 1
 309 indicates complete cover. In addition to the fractional cover images, a root mean square error
 310 (RMSE) image is also produced. This gives an indication of the degree to which the input
 311 endmembers matched the extent of the materials on the ground. The RMSE was 0.04 or less
 312 across both fire images, indicating that these endmembers were most likely indicative of the
 313 ground cover types in the image.

314 *Landsat data analysis:* Landsat data (bands 4 (B4) and 7 (B7)) were used to calculate the
 315 Normalized Burn Ratio (NBR) index (Eq. 3), the delta Normalized Burn Ratio (dNBR) (Eq.
 316 4) (Key and Benson 2002) and the Relative dNBR (RdNBR) (Eq. 5) (Miller and Fites 2006).
 317 The index values were extracted at the plot locations on both fires and were compared to the
 318 ground data.

319
$$NBR = (B4 - B7)/(B4 + B7) \quad (\text{Eq. 3})$$

320
$$dNBR = NBR_{pre} - NBR_{post} \quad (\text{Eq. 4})$$

321
$$RdNBR = (NBR_{pre} - NBR_{post}) / \sqrt{(|NBR_{pre}|/1000)} \quad (\text{Eq. 5})$$

322

323 Endmember fractions were extracted from the unmixed hyperspectral and Landsat images
 324 at all subplot locations (i.e., 135 per site). These subplot values were aggregated to the plot
 325 scale, i.e., 15 subplots to a plot, resulting in 9 plots per site and 54 plots per fire. The spectral
 326 fractions and Landsat spectral indices (NBR, dNBR, RdNBR) were compared to the field-
 327 measured fractional cover estimates to evaluate how well the image captured the conditions
 328 on the ground. Correlations were assessed for each endmember using the Pearson correlation
 329 statistic (SAS Institute Inc., 1999) at the subplot (not aggregated) and plot (aggregated)
 330 scales.

331

332 **RESULTS**

333

334

335

336

As burn severity increased, inorganic cover increased and organic cover decreased as expected (Table 1). The sparse vegetation conditions are illustrated by less than 50% organic ground cover on the low burn severity plots after both fires. On the plots burned at high severity, organic ground cover was only ~5%.

337

337 **Correlations between ground data and remotely sensed data**

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

The spectral fractions from the hyperspectral SMA were significantly correlated to the corresponding ground cover fractions (Table 2). The strongest correlation for both fires was between the green vegetation endmember and the field-measured uncharred organic ground cover ($r = 0.67$, Simi Fire and $r = 0.47$, Old Fire). Green vegetation was spectrally distinct in the image and well-matched to the green vegetation field spectrum used as an endmember. On the Simi Fire, charred organics ($r = 0.26$) and inorganics ($r = 0.27$) had the lowest correlations between the ground and SMA data. Stronger correlations were found with the Old Fire data, $r = 0.38$ for the charred organics and $r = 0.48$ for the charred inorganics. Similar correlations were found for the uncharred inorganics on both fires, $r = 0.41$ on the Simi Fire and $r = 0.37$ on the Old Fire.

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

For comparison, correlations between the ground data and the Landsat-derived dNBR and RdNBR were also calculated (Table 2). As expected, the strongest correlations were found for the satellite imagery with for uncharred organics and charred inorganics because of the greater abundance of these cover types in unburned/low burn severity and high burn severity areas, respectively. Lower overall correlations were found between the other ground cover classes and the dNBR and RdNBR values (Table 2). Charred organics and uncharred inorganics do not singly represent a burn severity class, or a specific degree of change from pre-fire conditions and are found in mixed quantities across the spectrum of burn severity. Therefore, we did not expect that these cover types would be highly correlated with indices that indicate degree of change from pre-fire conditions, such as a burn severity class.

358

358 **Spectral mixture analysis images**

359

360

361

The spectral mixture analysis results for the Simi Fire are shown in Figure 4. In Figure 4a, charred vegetation is shown as red, green vegetation as green, and uncharred soil as blue. This image is a relatively small subset (~15%) of the Simi Fire, however all burn severity

362 conditions are included, as well as some unburned areas at the very north and south ends of
363 the image. Charred vegetation is present across the entire image, much of it mixed with soil,
364 and shows as a purple or magenta color. The only green vegetation in this subset of the fire is
365 in some very discrete areas, mostly in valley bottoms. Large patches of bright blue are rocky
366 outcrops and the darkest areas are topographic shadows. Figure 4b is similar to Figure 4a,
367 however, the red color is charred soil rather than vegetation. This is shown to highlight the
368 similarity of the charred soil and vegetation endmembers in the spectral reflectance plot as
369 well as to show how prevalent soil is across this landscape (red, blue and purple hues all
370 indicate soil cover). Most of the southern half of this image is soil covered (Figure 4b). There
371 are some patches of charred vegetation in the central and northern portion, mixed with
372 charred and uncharred soil.

373 The Old Fire burned in a mix of vegetation types, some chaparral, but more in interior
374 woodlands. The result was a much greater proportion of burned and unburned vegetation
375 remaining after the fire than on Simi due to the higher proportion of pre-fire vegetation
376 (Figure 5). Again, charred vegetation is shown as red, green vegetation as green, and
377 uncharred soil as blue. The fire perimeter is identifiable on the image where the red color
378 within the burned area turns sharply to a green color outside of the burned area. Roads and
379 the shore of Lake Arrowhead are highly visible within the image (blue).

380

381 **DISCUSSION**

382 **Correlations between ground data and remotely sensed data**

383 Much of the Simi Fire area was characterized by a charred vegetation and soil mix after
384 the fire. These components were difficult to separate both spectrally and in the field. The
385 spectral endmembers were likely a mix of burned shrub stem reflectance and charred soil
386 background reflectance. Charred soil and charred vegetation endmembers were similar
387 (Figure 3), and neither resulted in strong correlations with the ground data at the Simi Fire
388 (Table 2). The strong correlation between uncharred inorganic field component and the SMA
389 results indicates that uncharred soil and rock were easy to identify in the image, and the
390 spectral endmember was a good match for the image data. This is likely due to the high
391 albedo of uncharred rock and soil, the brightest components in the scene.

392 The sparse vegetation across all burn severity classes on both fires and the mixed
393 vegetation on the Old Fire justified the need to explore alternate methodologies other than
394 dNBR for post-fire mapping. Because there was not much pre-fire vegetation or litter to burn
395 to begin with, dNBR results were likely skewed by the complete absence of vegetation on
396 some sites regardless of the severity of the burn. To fairly assess the RdNBR relative change
397 index, it must be acknowledged that it is not designed to identify specific ground cover types
398 (Miller and Thode, in press). The NBR is derived from a near-infrared band (B4) and a
399 shortwave infrared band (B7) which are highly sensitive to chlorophyll and water content of
400 vegetation—changes in live, green vegetation will therefore have the greatest impact on the
401 index values. The dNBR and RdNBR assign a value to the absolute change (dNBR), or
402 relative change (RdNBR), from a pre-fire to a post-fire condition. Areas with abundant green
403 or non-photosynthetic (non-charred) vegetation after a fire are generally unchanged and
404 classified as low burn severity. Areas that have little or no vegetation remaining and have
405 abundant charred soil and ash have generally undergone a great change and are classified as

406 high burn severity. Therefore, it is reasonable that these cover types had the strongest
407 correlations with the dNBR and RdNBR values (Table 2).

408 All correlations between the ground and remotely sensed data would likely be improved if
409 the ground data and the hyperspectral image data were collected at the same time. Because of
410 logistical and safety concerns and the presence of smoke, ground data and image data are not
411 easily acquired immediately after a fire, or even at the same time. There was a one-month
412 delay after the ground data were collected before the hyperspectral image was acquired and
413 during this time, the BAER team found that post-fire wind and rain events re-distributed
414 white ash, which is characteristic of severe fire effects (CDF, 2003). Hudak *et al.* (2004) also
415 found weak correlations between similar field and multispectral satellite data across multiple
416 fires that had burned in a variety of forest vegetation types, and speculated that the time
417 between fire and the collection of field data was a factor. Hudak *et al.* (parallel JFE
418 submission) determined quantitatively that time lags contributed to weaker correlations
419 between image indices and field fire effects across the Simi and Old fires along with four
420 other wildfires in Montana and two in Alaska. The inclusion of a shade component in the
421 spectral unmixing may have improved correlations due to the steep topography in many
422 places on the Simi Fire (Figures 4a, b). It would have been difficult to derive a high-quality
423 shaded soil or vegetation endmember—such an endmember would have had to be an image-
424 derived endmember and we were hesitant to add a mixed image spectrum to the ‘pure’ field
425 spectra used in the rest of the analysis.

426 **Spectral mixture analysis images**

427 The extent to which the ground surface was burned and the amount of remaining organic
428 ground cover are good indicators of the effects of the fire on the soil surface, or soil burn
429 severity. Figures 4 and 5 are essentially soil burn severity maps, highlighting exposed
430 mineral soil and the charred components in the scene. An assessment of the soil burn severity
431 gives a good indication of the potential for hydrologic response. The steep topography of the
432 area resulted in much of the Simi Fire image being shaded, which is visible in Figures 4a and
433 4b on the north sides of ridges. The implication of steep terrain coupled with disturbed soils
434 and the lack of vegetative cover was a resulting high-risk for soil erosion. This soil burn
435 severity map, combined with topographic data could be helpful immediately after a fire when
436 BAER teams must determine which areas to treat to mitigate erosion. Currently,
437 hyperspectral data collection, pre-processing, and analysis are in research stages, and are not
438 yet appropriate for rapid, operational post-fire response. Data processing methods are not
439 standardized and given the large sized of these data sets, can be challenging. However, as
440 computer and software technology advances and data are made available more quickly, these
441 methods have promise for improving burn severity mapping, thus improving post-fire
442 assessment.

443 444 **CONCLUSIONS**

445 The endmembers used in the spectral unmixing process for the Simi and Old Fires were
446 representative of the burned area. There were significant correlations between spectral
447 abundance in the image and fractional cover measured on the ground for each of the
448 endmembers used in the unmixing. The Simi and Old Fires presented unique situations for
449 exploring alternative methods for burn severity mapping. The chaparral vegetation on both
450 fires was sparse pre-and post-fire, and the Old Fire burned in mixed chaparral and woodland

451 vegetation types, creating conditions shown to be incompatible with the relative change
452 indices dNBR and RdNBR. The spectral unmixing results from the hyperspectral imagery
453 were significantly correlated to all classes of charred and uncharred organics and inorganics.
454 The dNBR was the best indicator of charred soil and uncharred vegetation, while RdNBR had
455 several significant correlations with the ground data, yet did not consistently correlate well
456 with any specific ground cover types. Overall, the results of the spectral mixture analysis
457 were slightly better than the dNBR and RdNBR at mapping quantitative ground cover
458 conditions rather than burn severity classes.

459 While hyperspectral data are currently costly to obtain and time consuming to process,
460 they will be useful burn severity assessments as hyperspectral image acquisition and
461 processing becomes more timely and affordable. The field spectra used as endmembers in the
462 Simi and Old Fire analysis will be useful on future fires in areas with similar vegetation
463 types. The ability to quantify the exposed soil and remaining vegetation provides a better
464 assessment of the fire's effects on the ground surface. In turn, the condition of the soil and the
465 potential for post-fire hydrologic response can be more accurately assessed.

467 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

468
469 We would like to thank the US Department of the Interior and Department of
470 Agriculture Forest Service, Joint Fire Science Program (JFSP 03-2-1-02) for funding this
471 project. This research was supported in part by funds provided by the US Department of
472 Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, to the University of Idaho
473 (03-JV-11222065-279). Troy Hensiek, Jared Yost, Kate Schneider and Carter Stone assisted
474 with field data collection, and Jacob Young and Curtis Kvamme with data entry. We
475 sincerely appreciate the support of local natural resources managers and incident management
476 teams in each location.

477 **REFERENCES**

478

479 Adams, J.B., M.O. Smith, and P.E. Johnson. 1985. Spectral mixture modeling: a new analysis
480 of rock and soil types at the Viking Lander 1 site. *Journal of Geophysical Research*
481 91(B8):8098-8112.

482

483 Barro, S.C., and S.G. Conard. 1991. Fire effects on California chaparral systems: an
484 overview. *Environment International* 17(2-3):135-149.

485

486 Beyers, J.L. 2004. Postfire seeding for erosion control: Effectiveness and impacts on native
487 plant communities. *Conservation Biology* 18:947-956.

488

489 Cannon, S.H., J.E. Gartner, M.G. Rupert, and J.A. Michael. 2003. Emergency assessment of
490 debris-flow hazards from basins burned by the Piru, Simi, and Verdale Fires of 2003,
491 Southern California. Open-File Report 03-481, US Geological Survey, Denver, Colorado.

492

493 California Division of Forestry and Fire Protection (CDF). 2003. Emergency watershed
494 protection assessment, Simi and Verdale Incidents, ed. R. Eliot, J. Knight, D. O'Neil, B.
495 Parker, S. Rosikiewics, T. Sutfin, S. Wilson, A. Wyman, and M. Lorezen.
496 <http://frap.cdf.ca.gov/socal03/reports/SimiVerdaleFinalReport.pdf> (accessed 30 May 2006).

497

498 Clark, Jess, Annette Parsons, Zajkowski, T., and Keith Lannom. 2003. Remote Sensing
499 Imagery Support for Burned Area Emergency Response Teams on 2003 Southern California
500 Wildfires. RSAC-2003-RPT1, US Department of Agriculture Forest Service, Remote Sensing
501 Applications Center, Salt Lake City, Utah.

502

503 DeBano, L.F. 2000. The role of fire and soil heating on water repellency in wildland
504 environments: a review. *Journal of Hydrology* 231-232:195-206.

505

506 Hanes, T.L. 1977. "California chaparral". In *Terrestrial vegetation of California*, ed. M.G.
507 Barbour and J. Major, 417-469. New York: John Wiley and Sons.

508

509 Hubbert, K.R., H.K. Preisler, P.M. Wohlgenuth, R.C. Graham, M.G. Narog. Prescribed
510 burning effects on soil physical properties and soil water repellency in a steep chaparral
511 watershed, southern California, USA. *Geoderma* 130:284-298.

512

513 Hudak, Andrew T., Peter R. Robichaud, Jeffrey B. Evans, Jess Clark, Keith Lannom,
514 Penelope Morgan, and Carter Stone. 2004. Field validation of Burned Area Reflectance
515 Classification (BARC) products for post-fire assessment. In: *Remote Sensing for Field Users,*
516 *Proceedings of the Tenth Forest Service Remote Sensing Applications Conference*, ed. Jerry
517 Greer, Salt Lake City, Utah, 5-9 April 2004. CD-ROM.

518

519 Ice, George G., Daniel G. Neary, and Paul W. Adams. 2004. Effects of wildfire on soils and
520 watershed processes. *Journal of Forestry* 102(6):16-20.

521

522 Jia, Gensuo J., Ingrid C. Burke, Alexander F.H. Goetz, Merrill R. Kaufmann, and Bruce C.
523 Kindel. 2006. Assessing spatial patterns of forest fuel using AVIRIS data. *Remote Sensing of*
524 *Environment* 102:318-327.
525

526 Keeley, J.E. 2000. "Chaparral". In *North American Terrestrial Vegetation*, 2nd ed., ed. M.G.
527 Barbour and W.D. Billings, 203-253. Cambridge, United Kingdom:Cambridge University
528 Press.
529

530 Keeley, Jon E. 2006a. "Evolution of plant adaptations". *Fire Ecology of California*
531 *Ecosystems*, ed. J. Fites-Kaufman, N. Sugihari and J. van Wangtendonk, 350-390. Berkely,
532 California: University of California Press.
533

534 Keeley, Jon E. 2006b. Fire management impacts on invasive plant species in the western
535 United States. *Conservation Biology* 20:375-384.
536

537 Keeley, Jon E. and C.J. Fotheringham. 2001. History and management of crown-fire
538 ecosystems: A summary and response. *Conservation Biology* 15:1561-1567.
539

540 Kennedy, Robert E., and Warren B. Cohen. 2003. Automated designation of tie-points for
541 image-to-image coregistration. *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 24:3467-3490.
542

543 Key, Carl H., and Nathan C. Benson. 2002. The normalized difference burn ratio (NDBR): A
544 Landsat TM radiometric measure of burn severity. US Geological Survey, Northern Rocky
545 Mountain Science Center, Montana State University, Bozeman, Montana.
546 <http://www.nrmssc.usgs.gov/research/ndbr.htm>, (accessed 12 April 2005).
547

548 Kokaly, Raymond F., Barnaby W. Rockwell, Sandra L. Haire, and Trude V.V. King. 2007.
549 Characterization of post-fire surface cover, soils, and burn severity at the Cerro Grande Fire,
550 New Mexico, using hyperspectral and multispectral remote sensing. *Remote sensing of*
551 *environment* 106:305-325.
552

553 Lentile, Leigh B., Zachary A. Holden, Alistair M.S. Smith, Michael J. Falkowski, Andrew T.
554 Hudak, Penelope Morgan, Sarah A. Lewis, Paul E. Gessler, and Nate C. Benson. 2006.
555 Remote sensing techniques to assess active fire characteristics and post-fire effects.
556 *International Journal of Wildland Fire* 15:319-345.
557

558 Lewis, Sarah A., Joan Q. Wu, and Peter R. Robichaud. 2006. Assessing burn severity and
559 comparing soil water repellency, Hayman Fire, Colorado. *Hydrological Processes* 20:1-16.
560

561 Lutes, D.C., R.E. Keane, J.F. Caratti, C.H. Key, N.C. Benson, S. Sutherland, and L.J. Gangi.
562 2006. *FIREMON: Fire Effects Monitoring and Inventory System*. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-
563 GTR-164-CD. US Department of Agriculture Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research
564 Station, Fort Collins, CO, CD-ROM.
565

566 McAuley, M. 1996. *Wildflowers of the Santa Monica Mountains*. Canoga Park, California
567 Canyon Publishing Company.
568

569 Miller, Jay D., and Andrea E. Thode. 2007. Quantifying burn severity in a heterogeneous
570 landscape with a relativized version of the delta Normalized Burn Ratio (dNBR). *Remote*
571 *Sensing of Environment*, in press.
572

573 Miller, Jay D., and Stephen R. Yool. 2002. Mapping forest post-fire canopy consumption in
574 several overstory types using Landsat TM and ETM data. *Remote Sensing of Environment*
575 82:481-496.
576

577 Ravi, Sujith, Paolo D'Odorico, Bruce Herbert, Ted Zobeck, and Thomas M. Over. 2006.
578 Enhancement of wind erosion by fire-induced water repellency. *Water Resources Research*
579 42(11):1-9. doi:10.1029/2006WR004895
580

581 Roberts, D.A., M.O. Smith, and J.B. Adams. 1993. Green vegetation, nonphotosynthetic
582 vegetation and soils in AVIRIS data. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 44:255-269.
583

584 Robichaud, Peter R. 2000. Fire effects on infiltration rates after prescribed fire in Northern
585 Rocky Mountain forests, USA. *Journal of Hydrology* 231-232:220-229.
586

587 Robichaud, Peter R., Sarah A. Lewis, Denise Y.M. Laes, Andrew T. Hudak, Raymond F.
588 Kokaly, and Joseph A. Zamudio. 2007. Postfire soil burn severity mapping with hyperspectral
589 image unmixing. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, in press.
590

591 Robichaud, Peter R., Jan L. Beyers, and Daniel G. Neary. 2000. Evaluating the effectiveness
592 of postfire rehabilitation treatments. RMRS-GTR-63, US Department of Agriculture Forest
593 Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fort Collins, Colorado.
594

595 SAS Institute Inc. 1999. *SAS/STAT User's Guide*, Volume 1, Version 8.2, Cary, North
596 Carolina: Statistical Analysis Systems (SAS) Institute Inc.
597

598 Smith, Milton O., Susan L. Ustin, John B. Adams, and Alan R. Gillespie. 1990. Vegetation in
599 deserts: I. A regional measure of abundance from multi-spectral images. *Remote Sensing of*
600 *Environment* 31:1-26.
601

602 Theseira, M.A., G. Thomas, J.C. Taylor, F. Gemmell, and J. Varjo. 2003. Sensitivity of
603 mixture modeling to end-member selection. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*
604 24(7):1559-1575.
605

606 US Department of Agriculture (USDA). 2006. Soil Survey for Ventura Area, California.
607 <http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/WebSoilSurvey.aspx> (accessed 13 March 2007)

608 **TABLES**

609

610 **Table 1.** Mean ground cover characteristics of the field subplots on the Simi and Old Fires by
 611 burn severity class—standard error of the mean is in parenthesis. Organic ground cover refers
 612 to green and non-photosynthetic vegetation, while inorganic refers to soil, rock and ash.
 613

Ground cover category	Burn severity class		
	Low	Moderate	High
Simi Fire	<i>n</i> = 270	<i>n</i> = 405	<i>n</i> = 135
Organic-uncharred (%)	25 (1.6)	10 (0.8)	2 (0.3)
Organic-charred (%)	15 (1.0)	12 (0.7)	5 (0.6)
Inorganic-uncharred (%)	43 (1.6)	43 (1.4)	53 (2.2)
Inorganic-charred (%)	16 (1.3)	35 (1.4)	40 (2.1)
Old Fire	<i>n</i> = 135	<i>n</i> = 405	<i>n</i> = 270
Organic-uncharred (%)	37 (2.5)	3 (0.2)	0 (0.04)
Organic-charred (%)	14 (1.4)	9 (0.5)	5 (0.3)
Inorganic-uncharred (%)	30 (2.1)	32 (1.4)	18 (1.1)
Inorganic-charred (%)	21 (2.4)	57 (1.4)	77 (1.1)

614 **Table 2.** Pearson correlation coefficients (r) comparing measured ground data at the field
 615 plots to the hyperspectral spectral mixture analysis (SMA) and the multi-spectral indices
 616 dNBR and RdNBR. Bold values are significant at $p < 0.05$. Organic ground cover refers to
 617 green and non-photosynthetic vegetation, while inorganic refers to soil, rock and ash.
 618

Ground cover category	Simi Fire			Old Fire		
	SMA	dNBR	RdNBR	SMA	dNBR	RdNBR
Organic-uncharred	0.67	-0.65	-0.48	0.47	-0.79	-0.77
Organic-charred	0.26	-0.27	-0.11	0.38	-0.17	-0.27
Inorganic-uncharred	0.41	0.13	0.36	0.37	-0.48	-0.23
Inorganic-charred	0.27	0.47	0.14	0.48	0.72	0.55

619 **LIST OF FIGURES**

620

621 **Figure 1.** Map of California showing the locations of the Simi and Old Fires.

622

623 **Figure 2.** Spatial layout of field plots. Need to clarify 8x8 or 9x9 – to center or edge of plots.

624

625 **Figure 3.** Example field spectra of uncharred soil, green vegetation, non-photosynthetic
626 vegetation, charred soil, and charred vegetation field spectra collected from the Old Fire.
627 Water absorption bands in the ranges of 1360-1400 nm and 1800-1980 nm were removed.

628

629 **Figure 4.** a) Image showing charred vegetation (red), green vegetation (green), and uncharred
630 soil (blue) on the Simi Fire, and b) Image showing charred soil (red), green vegetation
631 (green), and uncharred soil (blue) on the Simi Fire. These images are the result of the linear
632 spectral unmixing algorithm that was applied to the hyperspectral data.

633

634 **Figure 5.** Image showing charred vegetation (red), green vegetation (green), and uncharred
635 soil (blue) on the Old Fire. This image is the result of the linear spectral unmixing algorithm
636 that was applied to the hyperspectral data.